1. Introduction

1.1. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS RESEARCH

Permian acanthodians in the region of the Czech Republic were for the first time mentioned by BEYRICH (1848) at the end of his original description. Numerous finds of Acanthodes gracilis were referred from Rudník (Hermannseifen in the German transcription) in the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin (for the geographic position of the limnic basins see ZAJIC 1998; for the stratigraphic correlations see Fig. 1). Geinitz (1861, page 21) mentioned finds of Acanthodes gracilis from the same locality. The only Lower Permian representatives of the genus Acanthodes (isolated scales) from the Česká Kamenice Basin were described by Zajíc (1996; 1997) from the borehole Vf-1 (Volfartice). No acanthodian remains (unlike sharks and actinopterygians) have been found in Permian sediments of the Mnichovo Hradiště Basin up to the present (PROUZA, ŠIMŮNEK & ZAJÍC 1997). Numerous acanthodian specimens and fragments from Lowermost Permian of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin are described or mentioned by Augusta (1939), Blecha et al. (1997), DANEK (1902), FRIC (1912), FRITSCH (1893), GEINITZ (1861), HAVLENA & ŠPINAR (1954), ŠIMÚNEK, ZAJÍC & Drábková (1990), Zajíc (1986; 1989; 1991; 1996), Zajíc, ŠIMÚNEK & DRÁBKOVÁ (1997) and others. The only known specimen (scaly body fragment) from the Blanice Basin is presently lost. I saw it in the collections of the National Museum at Prague about ten years ago. Acanthodian finds are mentioned by Zajíc in Martínek, Drábková, Mikuláš, SIMUNEK & ZAJIC (2001). Acanthodians of the Boskovice Basin are described or mentioned by Augusta (1926a, 1926b, 1931, 1947), HAVLENA & ŠPINAR (1955, 1956a, 1956b), Ivanov (2003), Jaroš (1959), Rzehak (1881), Zajíc (1996, 1997a, 1997b, 2002) and others. Important informations concerning Permian acanthodians are included in overall faunal lists and stratigraphic faunal inventories (FRITSCH 1895, PESEK et al. 2001, ZAJIC 1988, 1998, 2000; Zajíc & Štamberg 1986).

Permian acanthodians of the Czech Republic were named as Acanthodes gracilis already by Geinitz (1861). The subspecies Acanthodes gracilis bendai from the Boskovice Basin and the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin was erected by FRITSCH (1893) on the basis of characteristic sculpture of scale crowns. RZEHAK (1881) mentioned another subspecific name - Acanthodes gracilis micracanthus from the Boskovice Basin. This name is, however, a nomen nudum. The stratigraphically youngest acanthodian find from the Czech Republic was mentioned by FRIC (1912) from the Olivětín Member of the Intra-Sudetic Basin. The specimen is, however, extremely doubtful because it was lost before the publication of Frič's paper and no other specimen was ever found at the same stratigraphic level. There is most probably a mistake (wrong locality or determination).

1.2. STRATIGRAPHY AND FOSSIL MATERIAL

The following two Permo-Carboniferous regions of the Czech Republic (see Zajic 1998, Fig. 1 or Zajic 2000, Fig. 1) yield Lower Permian acanthodians:

- Sudetic area (the Česká Kamenice and Krkonoše Piedmont basins)
- Southeastern (formerly Furrows) area (the Boskovice and Blanice basins).

The described and mentioned fossil material is deposited in the collections of the National Museum, Prague (M), the Institute of Geology and Paleontology of the Charles University, Prague (A, I, and PUK), Town Museum of Nová Paka (unnumbered sample), Moravian Museum, Brno (MZM), Czech Geological Survey, Prague (YA), and Regional Museum of Eastern Bohemia, Hradec Králové (MHK). The specimens that were collected by the author or his colleagues (S. Štamberg and Z. Šimůnek) are now deposited in the collections of the National Museum, Prague under the numbers M 4244 – M 4701, and M 4704 – M 4744.

The comparative material of Acanthodes gracilis comes from Poland (from the North Sudetic Basin, the Upper

| Age | Biozones (ZAJIC 2000) | Krkonoše Piedmont Basin & Česká Kamenice Basin | | | | Boskovice Basin | | | | Blanice Graben | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|---|--------|-------|---|-----------------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------|------------|--------------------------|----------|-------|-----|
| | | Formation | Member | Taxon | | | Formation | Member | Taxon | | on | Formation | Member | Taxon | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 1 | 2 | <u>.</u> 3 | | <u> </u> | 1 | 2 : |
| Artinskian | | Chotěvice | | | | L | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Hi | atus | | | | | Upper | | | | | | | |
| Sakmarian | Xenacanthus decheni | Prosečné | Upper | 1 | | | Letovice | Middle | · | | · | | | | |
| Asselian | Acanthodes | | Lower | | | | | Lower | • | • | • | | | - , | |
| | gracilis | | | | | | | | | | | Český | Bulánka | | |
| | | Vrchlabí | Upper | | | | Veverská | Bítýška | | | į | Brod | Chýnov | | |
| | | | Lower | • | | • | Padoc | hov | • | | • | Kostelec n. Čer. lesy | Lhotice | | ! |

Fig. 1. Occurrence of Lower Permian acanthodian taxa in the Czech Republic; lithostratigraphy of all basins is based on Pešek et al. (2001); taxon 1 – Acanthodes gracilis, taxon 2 – Acanthodes stambergi n. sp., taxon 3 – Acanthodes sp.; scales of Acanthodes sp. were just found in the Česká Kamenice Basin.

Anthracosia Shales) and is deposited in the Institute of Zoology of the Wroclaw University, Institute of Geology and Paleontology of the Charles University, Prague, National Museum, Prague, and Natural History Museum, London.

For the stratigraphic position of Permian acanthodians see Fig. 1. The possible correlation between the lithostratigraphic units of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin and the Boskovice Basin is based on ZaJIC (2002). The probable stratigraphic position of the Blanice Basin is original. Lithostratigraphy of the Česká Kamenice Basin (completely covered by the Upper Cretaceous marine sediments) is based on the lithostratigraphy of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin particularly thanks to the borehole Vf-1 (Volfartice; see Vejlupek 1984, Vejlupek, Novák & Schovánková 1986, Zajíc 1996, 1997a). Lower Permian acanthodian find comes from the equivalent of the Rudník Horizon. All specimens which were found in the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin originate also from the Rudník Horizon (Lower Vrchlabí Formation). The complicated lithostratigraphic chart of the Boskovice Basin (Pešek et al. 2001; Supplement 19) is induced by tangled tectonic and facies situation. Numerous local (mostly fossiliferous) horizons and layers were erected (see Fig. 2) but their exact correlation is still not definite. For the detailed lithostratigraphic charts of the individual basins see Pesek et al. (2001) and Zajíc (2000).

The list of localities and boreholes with known finds of Acanthodes is arranged according to the basins. Correlation of the numerous fossiliferous horizons of the Boskovice Basin is complicated by an intricate tectonic arrangement. Most localities are therefore not assigned to a definite lithostratigraphic unit. Full names (in case of boreholes) or the possible old names, the number of relevant maps (1: 25 000), potential references, known lithostratigraphic units (in case of Boskovice Basin), and numbers of specimens at my disposal are given in parentheses.

Ceská Kamenice Basin

Vf-1 borehole (Volfartice; 02-241; Zajic 1996; Zajic 1997a; equivalent of the Rudník Horizon)

 Krkonoše Piedmont Basin (all specimens come from the Rudník Horizon)

Dolní Sytová (03-413; one specimen in the collection of the Institute of Geology and Paleontology of the Charles University, Prague which is now missing; BLECHA et al. 1997, ZAJIC 1988)

F-1 borehole (Fořt; 03-423; Blecha et al. 1997)

F-2 borehole (Fořt; 03-423; Blecha et al. 1997, Zajíc 1986)

F-3 borehole (Fořt; 03-423; Blecha et al. 1997)

HK-1 borehole (Horní Kalná; 03-414; Blecha et al. 1997, Zajíc 1989, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Janovice (03-423; Blecha et al. 1997)

Jk-6 borehole (Javorník; 03-423; Blecha et al. 1997)

Košťálov, diverse outcrops (= former Czech name Košťálov; 03-413; Blecha et al. 1997, Danek 1902, Frič 1912, Fritsch 1893, Fritsch 1895, Holub 1961, Werneburg & Zajíc 1990; Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Košťálov, Kovářův mlýn (Kovář's Mill) (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Košťálov, za hospodou (behind the tavern) (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Košťálov, za domovem mládeže (behind the hostel) (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Kundratice, Doly (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Prostřední Lánov, za továrnou (behind the factory) (03-414; Blecha et al. 1997)

Příkrý, Honkův potok (Honkův creek) (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Rk-9a borehole (Rudník; 03-423; BLECHA et al. 1997)

Rudník, probably diverse outcrops (= former German name Hermannseifen; = former Czech name Heřmanovy Sejfy; 03-423; Blecha et al. 1997, Frič 1912, Havlena & Špinar 1954, Holub 1961, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Rybnice, Hrádecký potok (Hrádecký creek) (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Semily, probably diverse outcrops (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Víchová (03-413; Blecha et al. 1997)

Vrchlabí, zářez silnice (road cut) (03-414; Blecha et al. 1997, Šimůnek, Zajíc & Drábková 1990)

Notes: The Libštát locality (= the former Czech name Liebštát) is necessary to exclude from the list because the fossiliferous outcrops surely belong to the present Košťálov cadastre (no sediments of the Rudník Horizon are known from the present Libštát cadastre). Some specimens in diverse collections are still labelled with this locality name. The Libštát locality is also mentioned in some previous papers like Augusta (1939), Daněk (1902), Frič (1912), Zajíc (1988), and Zajíc & Štamberg (1986).

The Rybnice, Hrádecký creek locality is also known as Háje nad Jizerou (Štamberg 1993) or Roprachtice (Rieger 1971) but is located in the Rybnice cadastre.

Acanthodians of the Rudník Horizon usually come from the black shales (blackish grey claystones and mudstones) and grey laminated mudstones. Slight deformation of some specimens (postmortem bends) allows correct measurements of the body proportions. Various growth stages were found in some localities. Colour of the fossil remains is black or blackish grey. Numerous acanthodian remains were found in the reddish brown laminated limestone of the Vrchlabí locality. All specimens are highly stricken by pressure. The result is both plastic and brittle deformation. No specimen of this rich locality is usable for the measurement of the body proportions. Fossil remains are carbonized and without visible details (e.g. surface of the scale crowns).

Blanice Basin

Český Brod, Na skalce (13-134; collection of the National Museum, Prague, unnumbered specimen collected by Kopecký, now missing)

 Boskovice Basin (known lithostratigraphic units are mentioned in parenthesis)

Černá Hora, zářez nedostavěné dálnice (uncompleted motorway cut) (24-144; probably Zboněk-Svitávka Ho-

| Formation | Member | Horizon | Biozone (ZAJÍC 2000, in prep.) | | | |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Upper = Sudice | | ? | | | |
| Letovice | Middle | Bačov, Míchov, Kochov | Xenacanthus decheni | | | |
| | Lower | Lubě | | | | |
| | 1 | Zboněk-Svitávka | | | | |
| | | Zbraslavec | Acanthodes gracilis | | | |
| Veverská Bítýška | <u> </u> | Chudčice | | | | |
| Padochov | | Říčany | | | | |
| | | Zbýšov | | | | |
| Rosice-Oslavany | | | Sphaerolepis-Elonichthys | | | |

Fig. 2. Lithostratigraphic chart of the Boskovice Basin (according to PESEK et al. 2001 and JAROS, unpublished data); horizons of the Letovice Formation (derived from JAROS in PESEK et al. 2001) are of local nature and their superposition has not been proved.

rizon; 5 specimens in the collection of the Institute of Geology and Paleontology of the Charles University, Prague which were collected by professor ŠPINAR in 1954; MARTÍNEK et. al. 2002)

Černá Hora, unknown outcrop (24-144; FRITSCH 1893, ZAJÍC 1988)

Hluboké Dvory (= former Czech name Hluboký u Tišnova or in transcription to English as Hluboký near Tišnov; 24-144; Lubě Horizon; Augusta 1926a, Augusta 1931, Augusta 1933, Augusta 1946, Augusta 1947, Makowsky & Rzehak 1884, Ivanov 2003, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Hluboké Dvory – Skalička, zářez nedostavěné dálnice (uncompleted motorway cut) (24-144; Lubě Horizon; Ivanov 2003, Jaroš 1959)

Kladoruby, Dolní pepřík (= Trávník, Dolní pepřík in Ivanov 2003; 24-124; probably Lubě Horizon; about 70 specimens collected by S. ŠTAMBERG in 2002; MARTÍNEK et. al. 2002)

Lubě (= former Czech name Lubie; 24-144; Lubě Horizon; Augusta 1931, Augusta 1946, Augusta 1947, Fritsch 1893, Ivanov 2003, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Malá Lhota, Příčná zmola (= former Czech name Lhotka; 24-144; probably Zboněk-Svitávka Horizon; Augusta 1926a, Augusta 1931, Augusta 1946, Augusta 1947, Fritsch 1893, Ivanov 2003, Makowsky 1876a, Makowsky 1876b, Rzehak 1881, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Malá Lhota, Podhájí (= former Czech name Lhotka; 24-144; probably Lubě Horizon; the common list of quotations concerning both Malá Lhota localities see above)

Moravský Krumlov, za lesním závodem (behind the wood company) (24-334; Zbýšov Horizon; Augusta 1951a, Ivanov 2003)

Neslovice, údolí Neslovického potoka (valley of the Neslovice creek) including Rybičková skála (24-341; Říčany Horizon; Augusta 1931, Augusta 1946, Augusta 1947, Augusta 1951b, Martínek et. al. 2002, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Oslavany, levý břeh Oslavy (left bank of the river Oslava) (24-341; Zbýšov Horizon; Ivanov 2003, Zajíc 1995)

Padochov, výkop pro plynovod (pipeline excavation)

(24-341; Zbýšov Horizon; Ivanov 2003, Zajíc, Martínek, Šimůnek & Drábková 1996)

Polánka (= former Czech name Polanka; 24-334; Zbýšov Horizon; Augusta 1951c, Augusta & Čepek 1948; Ivanov 2003)

Svitávka, vrch Hradisko (Hradisko hill) (24-142; Svitávka-Zboněk Horizon; Augusta 1931, Havlena & Špinar 1956b, Ivanov 2003, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Zbraslavec (24-142; Zbraslavec Horizon; Augusta 1947, Ivanov 2003, Martínek et al. 2003, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Zbýšov, za pilou dolu Antonín (behind the sawmill of the Antonín Mine) (= Zbýšov, behind the sawmill of the Jindřich Mine; 24-341; Zbýšov Horizon; Augusta 1947, Havlena & Špinar 1956a, Ivanov 2003, Zajíc 1988, Zajíc & Štamberg 1986)

Notes: Current situation of fossiliferous localities is described by IVANOV (2003). Acanthodians were described also from the southern continuation of the Boskovice Basin near Zöbing in Austria (SCHINDLER & HAMPE 1996, VASICEK & STEININGER 1996).

Acanthodians of the Zbýšov Horizon are mostly fragmentary and affected by hair cracks. However, their preservation is often excellent. Delicate structures as gill arches or labyrinths are occasionally preserved.

Zbraslavec Horizon is represented by grey or yellowish grey organic rich limestone. The fossil remains are reddish brown coloured. Both the nearly complete bodies and the delicate structures of the head are preserved. Rather larger growth stages were collected on the Zbraslavec locality. This locality yielded three specimens of the below described new species as well.

The type of sediment and the way of preservation of fossil remains of the newest locality of Kladoruby, Dolní pepřík look similar to the situation of the Zbraslavec Horizon. The growth stages are, however, rather smaller.

Acknowledgements

The investigation, collection of some new specimens, presentation of some preliminary results (ZAJIC 2002), and this final publication were supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GAČR 206/00/0942 - Permian acanthodians of the Czech Republic). Some of the new samples were collected with help of the previous project of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GACR 205/94/0692) Environmental changes on the Carboniferous/Permian boundary and their impact on the assemblages of organisms in fossiliferous horizons in the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin) and with help of the Grant Agency of the Charles University, Prague (GAUK 227/200/B-GEO/PřF – Reconstruction of the environmental changes and the late Variscian development of the eastern part of the Bohemian Massif: Sedimentary and paleontological records of the Boskovice Basin). I thank to Zbyněk Šimůnek from the Czech Geological Survey, Prague for important help with collection of new acanthodian specimens. My thanks belong to Boris Ekrt from the National Museum, Prague for help during my study of older acanthodian specimens. Stanislav Stamberg from the Regional Museum of Eastern Bohemia, Hradec Králové helped me significantly during my study of his new acanthodian collection coming from Krkonoše Piedmont Basin and especially from the Boskovice Basin. I thank to Růžena Gregorová and Martin Ivanov from the Moravian Museum in Brno for help with my study of the older fossil material from the Boskovice Basin. Thanks to Miroslav Bařina, director of the Town Museum Nová Paka, I could study the sample with highest number of individuals, Ewa Swidnicka, curator of the Museum of the Department of Paleozoology of the Wroclaw University, allows me to study the original material of Acanthodes gracilis from the type locality and other comparative acanthodian material. My particular thanks go to my kind colleague Sally Young from the Department of Palaeontology of the Natural History Museum, London for help with my study of the large comparative collection of acanthodians and for important comments of my work.

2. Description

2.1. INDEX OF ABBREVIATIONS

alb – axial lobe of the caudal fin

as - anal fin scales

asp - anal fin spine

asq – anterior border of squamation

cmo - circumorbital bone

cs – semicircular canal

dmt – dermotrichia

d_O – outer diameter of circumorbital ring

dsp – dorsal fin spine

es - enlarged scales

gla – gill arch

glr - gill rakers

iosl - infraorbital sensory line

IA - anal spine length

l_D – dorsal spine length

I_M - mandibular bone length

I_P – pectoral spine length

l_{PR} – prepectoral distance

I_T - total specimen length

l_v – ventral spine length

Ib – labyrinth infilling

m. add – surface for the dorsal adductor muscles

mca – anterior ossification of the meckelian cartilage (mentomandibular)

mcp - posterior ossification of the meckelian cartilage (articular)

mdo – mandibular bone (mandibular splint)

mll - main lateral line

orb - orbit

oto - otolith

pgl - pectoral girdle

pop - preopercular sensory line

pr - procoracoid

psp - pectoral fin spine

qisl – quadratojugal sensory line

qu – quadratum

rbr – branchiostegal ray(s)

rdl - radials

sc - scapular blade

scd - semicircular duct

scl - sacculus

sl - sensory line

sosl - supraorbital sensory line

ssc – suprascapula

ts - trunk scales

v – ventral sensory line (unpaired)

v_{A-D} – anal-dorsal spine distance

v_{D-Z} – distance from dorsal spine to caudal cleft

v_{P-V} - pectoral-ventral spine distance

vv-A - ventral-anal spine distance

vz-o - distance from caudal cleft to tip of axial lobe

vl - ventrolateral sensory line

vlb - ventral lobe of the caudal fin

vsp - ventral fin spine

vss - ventral scaly shield

Z1, Z2, Z2", Z3, Z4 - Heyler's scale zones of the caudal fin

2.2. METHODS

Methods of measurements and subsequent definition, calculation, and valuation of the ratios were exactly described in ZaJC (1998). There is highly important to assess grade of specimen deformation before a measurement. The postmortem body bents are not the main obstacle (see ZaJC 1998). Acanthodian trunk is frequently affected by a longitudinal deformation both compression and dilatation. The compression is accompanied by the skin wrinkles. The dilatation comes through the vertical skin gaps. Longitudinally deformed acanthodian bodies cannot be used for measurements of body proportions.

Digital photographs (large contrasting printouts) were successfully used as foundation of drawings. Cameras Nikon Coolpix 950 and Nikon Coolpix 4500 were used.

Specific types of sediment (marlstones, some limestones) allow chemical preparation and separation with help of acetic acid (for methods see ŠTAMBERG 2003, ZAJIC 1997a, 1997c).