Boionautilus gen. nov. from the Silurian of Europe and North Africa (Nautiloidea, Tarphycerida)
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Tarphycerids of the suborder Barrandeocerina are striking owing to the large size of the shell and similarity with the recent Allonautilus Ward & Saunders, 1997. They are widely distributed in the Silurian rocks of Europe. They were originally classified within the genus Nautilus Linnaeus, 1758 but generic assignment remained questionable despite the detailed description and excellent illustration provided by Barrande in 1865. Species belonging to this group have been assigned to the genera Barrandeoceras Hyatt, 1884, Heracloceras Teichert, 1940, or Cumingsoceras Flower, 1950 but none of them is appropriate considering their morphology, phylogenetic trends and stratigraphic range. Therefore, a new genus Boionautilus is established here. An evolutionary trend is discerned, expressed especially in the tighter coiling of the shell – a transition from an evolute shell (gyroceracone at the beginning of the second whorl) with subelliptic cross section, to a slightly involute shell with a subtrapezoidal cross section. The dorsoventral diameter of the whorl increased more rapidly, and septa became more densely spaced. Boionautilus tyrannus (Barrande, 1865) is now known to occur in North Africa (Algeria, the Ougarta Range). Previously-mentioned finds in peri-Gondwanan sediments of the Carnic Alps are here rejected. Differences in the colour of septa and connecting rings in one exceptionally well-preserved specimen of this taxon from Algeria and one Bohemian specimen of B. sternbergi (Barrande, 1865) are remarkable and indicative high primary content of organic matter within the connecting rings. • Key words: Cephalopoda, Nautiloidea, Tarphycerida, new taxon, Silurian, Europe, North Africa.
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Within the markedly diverse Silurian fauna especially from the cephalopod limestones present in 13 stratigraphic levels in peri-Gondwanan Europe (Kříž 1998, Manda & Kříž 2007), the tarphycerids of the suborder Barrandeocerina form a minor but not insignificant group of nautiloids. Owing to these favourable circumstances, coupled with a very good state of preservation and the highly refined stratigraphy in the Prague Basin, the Bohemian Palaeozoic offers an exceptional opportunity for tracing evolutionary trends within some groups of Silurian cephalopods. The majority of species belonging to the Tarphycerida were described in the second half of the 19th century (Barrande 1865–1877, Novák 1886). Barrande’s illustrations served as a basis for establishing several new genera (Hyatt 1884, 1894; Foerste 1924). Attention to the Bohemian representatives of this group was again given in the last quarter of the 20th century (Turek 1972, 1975, 1976; Dzik 1984; Stridsberg & Turek 1997). The majority of species described in the 19th century, however, have not yet been revised. These tarphycerids belong especially to the family Lechri-trochoceratidae Flower in Flower & Kummel 1950; others are included within the Ophioceratidae Hyatt, 1894 and Uranoceratidae Hyatt in Zittel, 1900. This report concentrates on revision of representatives of the last-mentioned family.

Large Silurian tarphycerids of the suborder Barrandeocerina, family Uranoceratidae resemble in their outer shell morphology the recent Nautilus Linnaeus, 1758, or more precisely, Allonautilus Ward & Saunders, 1997. These tarphycerids originally assigned to the genus Nautilus have been reported from different parts of Europe (Hisinger 1829, 1831, 1837; Barrande 1865–1877; Blake 1882; Novák 1886; Heritsch 1929). The best-preserved specimens, the stratigraphical positions of which have been accurately determined, come from Central Bohemia and were originally described by J. Barrande. Different cephalopod workers assigned them, frequently with some uncertainty, to the genera Barrandeoceras Hyatt, 1884, Heracloceras Teichert, 1940, and Cumingsoceras Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950, occasionally also to Charactoceras Foerste, 1924, as can be seen in some labels attached to the Bohemian specimens in the collection of Schary stored at the Museum of Comparat-
tive Zoology, Harvard. Such a situation reflects not only the different taxonomic approaches of individual palaeontologists but also insufficient knowledge of the morphology of some of these genera. Therefore, the question concerning the systematic position of these tarpthyercids is reopened here; variability, traceable phylogenetic trends within the genus, stratigraphic ranges of described species and geographic distribution are considered. While the occurrence of any species of *Boionautilus* in the Carnic Alps was not confirmed during my inspection of specimens figured by Hetritsch (1929), *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865) was determined among those cephalopods coming from Si-lurian strata of peri-Gondwana – the Ougarta Range, Alger-ia. Differences in preservation of the internal structures in one specimen from this area as well as in another specimen coming from the Prague Basin are remarkable and demonstrate substantial primary differences in chemical composition of septa and connecting rings, indicating the need for a detailed study of the siphuncle of representatives of the Bar-randeocerina.

Specimens studied come particularly from the collection of J. Barrande deposited in the National Museum, Prague (prefix NM-L) and the Schary collection stored at the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard (prefix MCZ); some additional specimens collected by Schary are deposited in the Museum of Natural History, Vienna (prefix NMH). Other specimens at my disposal were collected over the last few decades especially by R. Horný, L. Zedník, Š. Manda and A. Čížek. Material from Gotland, Sweden is stored in the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm (prefix Mo).

**Systematic palaeontology**

Subclass Nautiloidea Agassiz, 1847
Order Tarphycerida Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950
Suborder Barrandeocerina Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950
Family Uranoceratidae Hyatt in Zittel, 1900

**Boionautilus gen. nov.**

*Type species.* – *Nautilus bohemicus* Barrande, 1865, Silu-rian, Přidolí, Pristigraaptus transgrediens Biozone, Po-žáry Formation, Prague Basin, Bohemia. Since the lecto-type of the type species has not yet been designated, specimen NM-L 29860, illustrated by Barrande (1865) on pl. 33, fig. 2, is selected herein. The specimen, figured here on Fig. 1A, B, comes from the locality Karlštejn.

*Etymology.* – According to the Celtic tribe (Boii, Lat.) that inhabited the territory of Bohemia and similarity to *Nautilus*

**Diagnosis.** – Shell large, evolute to dorsally slightly im-pressed, laterally compressed, moderately to rapidly ex-panding. Body chamber occupies about 1/4 to 3/8 of the whorl. Cross-section is subelliptic or subtrapezoidal with narrower ventral side. Sutures with broad lateral lobes, nar-rower ventral saddle and small dorsal lobe. Siphuncle nar-row to moderately wide situated subcentrally, septal necks orthochoanitic, connecting rings moderately expanding within camerae in more advanced growth stages. Embry-onic shell curved and large; length measured along the axis of the shell reaches 11 to 13 mm. Surface of embryonic shell with fine longitudinal lirae. In later growth stages these lirae disappear and the shell is smooth or with slightly indicated ribs; hyponomic sinus deep. Retractor muscle scars situated ventrolaterally.

**Discussion.** – Proper generic assessment of these large tarphycerids is a problem persisting from the 19th century. Owing to their similarity to recent *Nautilus*, tarpthyercids were originally assigned to that genus. The first published illustrations of nautiloids assigned here to *Boionautilus* gen. nov. were given by Hisinger (1831, 1837) but were more artistic than precise. Illustrations of four new species belonging to this genus published by Barrande (1865), however, are excellent. Some additional species were described and well illustrated by Blake (1882) and Novák (1886).

Hyatt (1883–1884, p. 299) assigned four Bohemian species described by Barrande – *Nautilus bohemicus*, *N. sacheri*, *N. sternbergi* and *N. tyrannus* to his new genus *Barrandeoceras*. He was followed by, e.g., Whiteaves (1906), Hetritsch (1929, 1930), Basse (1952), and Horný (1965). The generic name was applied with reservation also by Histon (1998, 1999) in the list of specimens figured by Hetritsch (1929) from the Carnic Alps. Dzik (1984, in explanation to pl. 42) assigned them with reservation to *Heracloceras* Teichert, 1940, preferring to derive this ge-nus from *Charactoceras* Foerster, 1924. Turek & Marek (1986) classified these coiled nautiloids as *Cumingoceras* Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950, a genus that, according to Dzik (1984), is synonymous with *Uranoceras* Hyatt, 1884.

*Barrandeoceras* Hyatt, 1884 (type species *Nautilus natator* Billings, 1859; Chazyan, Middle Ordovician) should be assigned according to Sweet (1964) to the family Barrandeoceratidae Flower & Kummel, 1950, and by Dzik (1984) to the Tarphyeridae Hyatt, 1884. The shell of the type species is much more longiconic than that of *Boionautilus* and also costate, and the body chamber is distinctly divergent and narrow. The siphuncle is narrow and tubular in all growth stages (Ulrich et al. 1942, *Sweet in Moore* 1964, *Flower* 1984); only distal ends of the othochoanitic septal necks are in contact with the connecting rings ventrally (*Sweet in Moore* 1964, fig. 271), a characteristic that may be taxonomically significant. In summary, *Barrandeoceras*
shows markedly different shell morphology from all Boionautilus species so that it is untenable to assign them to this Ordovician genus, which probably did not cross the Ordovician-Silurian boundary (see Kröger 2005).

_Heracloceras_ Teichert, 1940 [type species _Gyroceratites (Nautilus?) inelegans_ Meek, 1871; Middle Devonian, U.S.A., Ohio] is an insufficiently known genus. Information concerning the connecting rings, embryonic shell and muscle scars is missing. The shell of _Heracloceras_ is loosely coiled with a remarkably long body chamber occupying half of the whorl and widely spaced septa; sutures with a low dorsal saddle (Sweet in Moore 1964, Zhuravleva 1974). A specimen of _H. inelegans_ figured by Davis & Mapes (1996) shows wide ribs ventrolaterally. The only known occurrence of _Heracloceras_ is in Middle Devonian strata in North America. Pau
city of knowledge of morphology, geographic and stratigraphic distribution prohibits tracing the phylogeny of this genus. As late Silurian representatives of _Boionautilus_ gen. nov. followed an evolutionary trend resulting in a slightly involute shell and closely spaced septa, an intimate relationship between compared genera is improbable.

_Cumingsoceras_ Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950 (type species _Gyroceras elrodi_ White, 1882; middle Silurian, U.S.A., Indiana, Illinois) seems to be more closely related to the genus _Boionautilus_ than the three genera mentioned above. Turek & Marek (1986) assigned species described by Barrande (1865–1877) from Central Bohemia to this genus while Dzik (1984) synonymized _Cumingsoceras_ Flower in Flower & Kummel, 1950 with _Uranoceras_ Hyatt, 1884. Comparison of these coiled nautiloids is difficult especially due to the lack of information concerning the siphuncle and muscle scars in _Cumingsoceras_.

The holotype of _Gyroceras elrodi_ refigured by Foerste (1925) and Sweet in Moore (1964) is an internal mould of an adult part of a phragmocone (indicated by the large size and markedly shortened last camera), cut slightly obliquely to the medium plane. The shell diameter of the complete specimen is estimated to be 30 cm. Although the preserved part of the shell is gyroconic, it is probable that the missing body chamber was in contact with the preceding whorl (see Foerste 1925, pl. 14, fig. 1). A similar type of coiling can be observed in some specimens of _Boionautilus sternbergi_ figured by Barrande (1865) on pl. 37, figs 1, 3 and refigured here as Figs 2, 3D. However, the shell in _Cumingsoceras_ is more rapidly expanded, less compressed, and with widely spaced septa – 16 camerae in the last whorl. The number of septa in specimens of comparable size of _Boionautilus_ is approximately double. Although the height
of the camerae differs sometimes markedly even within one tarpycerid species, it seems probable that in this case, this feature was not properly evaluated. A dorsal saddle to the suture has not been reported. Fine longitudinal striae, in addition to undulating growth lines exhibiting a deep hypnomic sinus, have been reported in Cumingsoceras (Sweet in Moore 1964). In Boionautilus fine longitudinal striae occur only in embryonic and juvenile growth stages.

By comparison with Cumingsoceras, the genus Uranoceras is much better known. The type species of the latter, Cyrtoceras uranum Barrande, 1865 from the Silurian (lowermost Ludlow) of Bohemia has a thick, loosely coiled shell that in later growth stages diverges markedly from the spire. The siphuncle is wide, and connecting rings have a characteristic shape; they are cylindrical and strongly constricted immediately before the junction with the septal necks (Sweet in Moore 1964). Probably a little bit stratigraphically older, U. hercules (Winchell & Marcy, 1865) from the upper Wenlock of North America is morphologically almost identical (based on observation of several specimens of U. hercules from the Racine Dolomites coming from F.H. Day’s collection, deposited at the MCZ).

Although we do not know the shape of the connecting rings in Cumingsoceras, the very short septal necks, markedly shorter than in Boionautilus indicate a possibility that the siphonal segments in Cumingsoceras had the same shape as those of Uranoceras. Fine longitudinal striae are present on the surface of the shell in both genera.

When revising these cephalopods in detail, Dzik (1984) opined that both taxa (i.e. Cumingsoceras and Uranoceras) represent a single genus, and this is accepted herein. On the other hand, differences between Boionautilus and all the genera compared above are so essential that they substantiate the establishment of this new genus.

Barrande (1865–1867) described four Silurian tarpycerids assigned to Boionautilus; Nautilus sternbergi, N. sacheri, N. tyrannus and N. bohemicus. Imperfect coiling of the second whorl in the stratigraphically oldest Nautilus sternbergi is frequently observed in well-preserved specimens, and enables us to trace the roots of this genus within gyroceraconic forms. The Upper Ordovician Uranoceras? longitudinale (Angelin & Lindström, 1880) from southern Sweden, revised in detail by Mutvei (1957), resembles the supposed common ancestor of Uranoceras and Boionautilus in having a similar morphology. A subcircular cross section of the ancestor passed into a subelliptic one and the ventrocentrally situated siphuncle shifted close to the axis of the shell, sometimes slightly dorsally from the centre. The cross section of the whorl in the Silurian species changed to subtrapezoidal; the rounded ventral side became flat, and clearly separated from the flattened lateral sides, and the umbilical walls became steeper. The dorsoventral diameter of the whorl increased more rapidly in evolutionary younger species. The derivation of Boionautilus from Upper Ordovician Characteroceras Foerste, 1924 (Dzik 1984, p. 151, 155) is improbable as representatives of this genus (Foerste 1924, Strand 1934, Frey 1982) have a closely coiled, dorsoventrally compressed shell. Lateral sides converge to the dorsum and the cross section may also be reniform. Sutures are essentially straight laterally; the moderately wide siphuncle is located subventrally.

Species assigned. – Boionautilus tyrannus (Barrande, 1865) (= Nautilus complanatus Hisinger, 1831), Silurian, Wenlock, Ludlow to lower Přídloli; Sweden (Gotland); Boi-

nautilus sternbergi (Barrande, 1865), mid-Silurian, upper Ludfordian, Bohemia (Prague Basin); Boionautilus sa-

cheri (Barrande, 1865), Silurian, Ludlow, Ludfordian; Bohemia (Prague Basin). To this genus perhaps also belongs Boionautilus? holtianus (Blake, 1882) from the Silurian, Ludlow of England and perhaps Boionautilus? sp., Silurian, Ludlow, Sweden (Gotland). Boionautilus bohemicus (Barrande, 1865), Silurian, Přídloli; Bohemia (Prague Basin); Germany (Harz); Great Britain (England) and Algeria (Ougarta Range).
Barrande (1865–1867) described four species classified here as Boionautilus. Later Novák (1886) added one new species. The stratigraphical range of Boionautilus in the Prague Basin is from upper Ludlow to upper Přídolí (Turek & Marek 1986, Manda in Kříž 1998).

The stratigraphically oldest species Boionautilus sternbergi (Barrande, 1865) occurs in the upper Ludfordian cephalopod limestone of the Kopanina Formation, the horizon with Ananaspis fecunda, P. latilobus Zone. The species is known from several localities, namely Praha-Lochkov, Praha-Malá Chuchle, Praha-Vyskočilka, Praha-Velká Chuchle, Praha-Butovice, Praha-Slivenec, Kosof, Kosov Quarry near Beroun. The species Nautilus alinae Novák, 1886 was based on a single specimen repre-

Distribution of Boionautilus

Prague Basin (Bohemia)

Barrande (1865–1867) described four species classified here as Boionautilus. Later Novák (1886) added one new species. The stratigraphical range of Boionautilus in the Prague Basin is from upper Ludlow to upper Přídolí (Turek & Marek 1986, Manda in Kříž 1998).
sented by part of the whorl of an adult coming from the locality Praha-Velká Chuchle. The holotype of *Nautilus alinae* differs from the preceding species especially in the slower increase of the dorsoventral length and moderately wide siphuncle with inflated connecting rings. Several well-preserved specimens of *B. sternbergi* (e.g., NHM 1876/B 0009/0478, fig. 2), however, display very similar morphology. Therefore, *Nautilus alinae* is supposed a younger synonym of *B. sternbergi*.

The markedly smaller and ribbed species *Boionautilus sacheri* (Barrande, 1865) occurs also in the cephalopod limestone of the upper part of the Kopanina Formation. It ranges from the upper part of the *Ananaspis fecunda* Horizon, *P. latilobus* Zone, Ludfordian up to the lowermost part of the *Prionopeltis archiaci* Horizon, *M. fragmentalis* Zone, Ludfordian [localities Praha-Velká Chuchle, Praha-Lochkov, Praha-Butovice (Pod hradištěm) and Kosov Quarry near Beroun].

*Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865) occurs in the cephalopod limestone of the uppermost Kopanina Formation in the Prague area. The oldest specimens were found in the lower *Prionopeltis archiaci* Horizon, *Monograptus fragmentalis* Biozone, Ludlow while youngest in the *P. ultimus* Biozone, lowermost Přídolí; localities Praha-Lochkov (Nad ubikacemi, U topolů, Orthocérový lůmek), Praha-Malá Chuchle-Vyškočilka, Praha-Velká Chuchle, Praha-Šlivenec, Kosof, and Zadní Kopanina.

The stratigraphically youngest species *Boionautilus bohemicus* (Barrande, 1865) are virtually restricted to the cephalopod limestone of the upper Přídolí, *M. transgrediens* Zone; localities Praha-Dvorce, Karlštejn-Třebáňská stráň slope (western margin, Barrande’s pits), Zadní Kopanina and Dlouhá hora near Beroun.

Blake (1882) compared fragmentary material from Britain with Barrande’s (1865) illustrations and synonymised the two stratigraphically youngest successive species “*Nautilus* bohemicus” and “*N.* tyrannus. Although differences between these two species may be not well pronounced, an evolutionary trend expressed in changes of the shell shape is clear. *B. sacheri* (Barrande, 1865) was interpreted as synonymous with *B. tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865) by Dzik (1984). However, the shell of *B. sacheri* (Barrande, 1865) is much smaller in all known specimens (diameter of full-grown shells does not exceed 12 cm) and bears characteristic ribbing on the surface. The embryonic shell is less curved. The very slightly involute shell of *B. tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865) may reach a diameter of about 25 cm, whilst the venter and umbilical slopes are convex, and exceptionally, the ventral side is very narrow, sometimes almost sharp. The youngest species of this genus, i.e., *B. bohemicum* (Barrande, 1865) has a shell with flanks and ventral side more flattened – this feature already appearing in the second whorl. The dorsoventral diameter of the whorl increases more rapidly than in other species of the genus. Large embryonic shells in all these species are similar.

The fossil described by J. Barrande (1865–1867) under the name *Nautilus*? *desideratus* (pl. 34, figs 7, 8) coming from the Lochkov locality (upper Silurian) is the bivalve *Dualina* cf. *longiuscula* (Barrande, 1881), according to J. Kříž (personal communication, 2007).

**England (British Isles)**

Among the British Silurian cephalopods described and figured by Blake (1882), the specimen he determined as *Nautilus bohemicus* Barrande (pl. 27, figs 1, 2) surely belongs to *Boionautilus*. Blake reported the species from the Wenlock beds of Dudley and Ludlow beds of Malvern, Ledbury and Ludlow. The fragments that were at his disposal display characteristic features of *B. tyrannus* (see Blake 1882, p. 210) but he supposed this species to be conspecific with *B. bohemicus*. This opinion is not shared here and the specimen illustrated by Blake is assigned to *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865).
Another species *Nautilus holtianus* (Blake, 1882) illustrated by the author in pl. 28, fig. 1 might also belong to *Boionautilus*. The species was noted as occurring in the Ludlow beds at Stokesay and Ledbury. Unfortunately, the morphology of this species is poorly known, and because of the difficulty of matching the radial course of striae on the lateral surfaces, the taxonomic position of the species must remain questionable.

**Gotland (Sweden)**

*Nautilus complanatus* Hisinger, 1831, assigned here to *Boionautilus*, was one of the first coiled nautiloids mentioned from Gotland. Hisinger used the name for the first time in 1829 and two years later added a lithographed illustration of the specimen (Hisinger 1831). The species was briefly described by him in 1837; a very poor illustration of the holotype was added (Hisinger 1831, pl. 6). However, *Nautilus complanatus* Hisinger, 1831 is a junior homonym to *Nautilus complanatus* Sowerby, 1820. According to Lindström (1890) and Stridsberg (1985), the nautiloid described by Hisinger was renamed by d’Orbigny in “Paléontologie universelle” (1845–1847) as *Nautilus Hisingeri*. However, “Paléontologie universelle ...” (1845–1847) was never completed and there is no text about nautiloid cephalopods. The volume contains 55 plates, out of a numbered series 60 (Milner, letter communication). The plate with *Nautilus Hisingeri* is headed as plate 41 of “Paléontologie étrangère” on one side and plate 85 of “Paléontologie universelle” on the other side. The plate sequence in the book relates to the former title. Only the name “*Nautilus Hisingeri* d’Orb.” without any other discussion is mentioned in the explanation to the illustration (Milner, letter communication). The name also has not been found neither in later published “Prodrome de Paléontologie stratigraphique universelle” (d’Orbigny 1850) nor in other cephlopod lists of names and databases. Article 12 in the ICZN defines an “indication” which may be used to validate a taxon named before 1930; data published by d’Orbigny (1845–1847) are, however, inadequate. Due to these circumstances the name “*Nautilus Hisingeri* d’Orb.” is considered as a *nomen nudum*. As this Gotland species is supposed to be identical with *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865), this name was accepted here as a valid one.

The holotype by monotypy of Hisinger’s *Nautilus complanatus* (= *Boionautilus tyrannus* Barrande, 1865) is specimen Mo 152327, refigured here as Fig. 4. It comes from the upper Ludlow, Ludfordian, Hamra beds, locality Hamra. This large specimen, preserved in grey argillaceous limestone, is incomplete, damaged and slightly deformed. The shell is slightly involute, laterally compressed, and the ventral surface is rounded. The reconstructed diameter of the shell is about 20 cm. The surface is smooth, and the growth lines show a wide lateral sinus passing into a deep hyponomic sinus. Septa are densely spaced with a broad lateral sinus.

When describing a related and roughly contemporaneous species from Bohemia according to information given by M. Angelin, J. Barrande (1867) treated this specimen as a representative of the genus *Phragmoceras* (Barrande 1867, pp. 130, 187; 1870, p. 35; 1877, p. 95). This generic assessment was, however, correctly denied by Lindström (1880).

Besides the holotype, additional specimens of *Nautilus complanatus* Hisinger, 1831 were later obtained from the following localities in Gotland: Hamra, Peteskanal Hamra – Storkwiks kanal, Öja – Storviks kanal, Othem, Hoburg, Gröttingbo, Heligholm. Determination of the stratigraphic age of these specimens as upper Ludlow, is based on data published by Laufeld (1974), Larsson (1979), and Jeppsson & Aldridge (2000). These specimens, predominantly preserved in the same type of rock as the holotype, represent fragments and parts of shells of different growth stages and complete information concerning morphology of *N. complanatus* (see Fig. 5A, B). As there are no reliable criteria to distinguish *Nautilus complanatus* Hisinger, 1831 from *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865), and Hisinger’s name of the species is invalid (see above), specimens of *Boionautilus* coming from the Silurian of Gotland are assigned to the latter species.

From the Hamra locality (Hamra beds, Ludlow, Ludfordian) also comes a specimen classified here as
Boionautilus? sp. (Mo 59395 and Mo 59396). The median section shows well-preserved internal structures. Despite the fact that the shell is loosely coiled, the dorsal side is concave and the narrow siphuncle is slightly shifted dorsally.

Harz, Wiedatales (Germany)

Heritsch (1930) describing upper Silurian fossils from the locality Wiedatales in the Harz discussed and illustrated on pl. 33, figs 26 and 27 one specimen of Boionautilus bohemicus (Barrande, 1865) determined by him as Barrandeoceras bohemicum. The incomplete specimen representing an intermediate growth stage (diameter of the shell is 65 mm) corresponds to this species. The associated fauna indicates the middle Přídolí Stage.

Carnic Alps (Austria)

Several specimens identified with Barrande’s nautiloid species and belonging to Boionautilus were reported also from the Carnic Alps (Heritsch 1929, Histon 1999, Gnoli 2003). Shells illustrated on figs 759–762 of Heritsch (1929) were designated by him as Barrandeoceras sacheri Barrande, 1865 (explanation to plate p. 183) or B. cf. sacheri (see p. 74); the specimen illustrated on fig. 763 was classified as Barrandeoceras sp. by him. Histon (1999) rightly determined three of them as gastropods but determined the specimens figured as fig. 762 and 763 as Barrandeoceras? sp. However, these two specimens, which I had an opportunity to see in the palaeontological collection of the Geologisches Bundesanstalt, Vienna, cannot be juvenile growth stages of coiled nautiloids. The very small size and outer morphology indicate their systematic position within the gastropods. The occurrence of Boionautilus in the Carnic Alps has thus not been confirmed.

North Africa, Ougarta Range (Algeria)

The sequence of the Lower Palaeozoic in Algeria is well known owing to the intensive work of French geologists, especially Legrand (1966, 1985, 1994, 2003), and Ghienne et al. (2007). The cephalopod limestones from this area were compared with occurrences of the same facies in Central Bohemia. The Silurian cephalopod fauna from Algeria is still poorly known. Knowledge concerning this group was summarised by Gnoli (2003). The vast majority of cephalopods from this area belong to orthoceratoids representing either nekton or perhaps (according to Mutvei 2002b) plankton. Tarphycerids of the suborder Barrandeocerina have not hitherto been reported.

Barrandeocerina at my disposal comprise about 20 specimens collected by H. Mutvei in 1952 and one specimen found by palaeontologists from the National Museum, Prague in 1974. As these specimens show different preservation indicating two different localities, although probably not too distant from one-another, the material is discussed separately.

Locality Ougarta. – Tarphycerids collected by H. Mutvei come from the locality situated NE of Ougarta, Ougarta Range, in the Oued Ali Clay Formation. About 25 fragments (parts of whorls of different ontogenetic stages) are preserved in dark grey cephalopod limestone. Phragmocones are usually filled by coarse sparitic calcite, partially or totally destroying the internal structures. However, an exceptionally well-preserved specimen with phragmocone filled by dark micrite has also been found (Figs 3A–C, 7).

The height of the whorl of the largest but slender shell, the internal mould of the body chamber, is 57 mm, the width 34 mm. Although all specimens are considerably laterally compressed, variability of the width/length ratio of the whorl fluctuates from 0.70 to 0.85. The specimens correspond morphologically to Boionautilus tyrannus (Barrande, 1865). In addition to these shells there are a few fragments showing a smaller increase of dorsoventral length, similar to B. sternbergi (Barrande, 1865). It is not clear whether both morphs were co-occurring or come from different stratigraphic horizons.

Specimen Mo 160870 (Fig. 3A–C) is a sagittal section of portion of a phragmocone. The adapertural length is 20 mm; adapertural width 16 mm. The siphuncle is situated slightly ventrad of the centre, and is narrow, orthochoanitic, with siphonal segments slightly expanding within camerae. The black colour of the thin-walled connecting rings contrasts with the brownish honey-coloured
septal necks. A marked primary difference in chemical composition of these structures is therefore inferred; predominantly organic substance comprising connecting rings is expected. The phragmocone of another figured specimen (Mo 160868, Fig. 7) shows a siphuncle with inflated connecting rings.

Correlation with the cephalopod beds from the Prague Basin can only be done through a comparison with the stratigraphic range of *Boionautilus tyrannus* and associated bivalves. The stratigraphic range of *B. tyrannus* in the Prague Basin is from the uppermost Ludlow (*Monograptus fragmentalis* Biozone) to the lowermost Přídolí (*Pristograptus ultimus* Biozone). Bivalves determined by J. Kříž indicate a middle Ludlow age (*Cardiola aff. docens* Barrande, 1881 or *Cardiola aff. agna* Kříž, 1979, “Astarte” sp., *Dualina* sp. and *Mytilarca* sp.).

**Locality El Kseib.** – A single specimen was found by palaeontologists from the National Museum, Prague at the locality El Kseib, Ougarta Range (NE of oasis El Kseib, SSW of oasis Bani Abbas). The specimen is preserved in brownish biosparitic limestone and associated with bivalves and small, mostly fragmentary orthoconic nautiloids. The phragmocone is damaged with the first whorl either encased in rock or missing. The cross section of the whorl is elliptic and narrower ventrally, w/l = 0.8 ratio at shell diameter of 5.2 cm. A very shallow impressed zone is present on the dorsal side, and the ventral side is convex. Surface sculpture displays the sinuous course of growth lines with a deep hyponomic sinus. Despite the coarse sparitic infilling of the phragmocone, the narrow siphuncle, positioned slightly ventrad of the centre, is preserved. The right half of one segment of the siphuncle, moderately expanding within a camera is visible. Septa are densely spaced; with seven camerae in a quarter of the whorl.

Conclusions concerning the correlation of the level within the cephalopod limestone level with similar levels in the Prague Basin, Bohemia (Kříž 1998) were predicted based on determination of bivalve associations obtained from a sample of rock separated from the specimen by J. Kříž. The bivalve association contains *Algerina* aff. *algena* Kříž, 2008, *Mytilarca* aff. *esuriens* Barrande, 1881, *Dualina* sp., *Cardiola* aff. *docens* Barrande, 1881, *Cardiola* cf. *conformis* Barrande, 1881, *Dualina longiuscula* Barrande, 1881 and *Butovicella* aff. *medea* Kříž, 1969. The co-occurrence of the last four species is the most important for the conclusion concerning the age of *Boionautilus* from this locality and indicates that middle Ludfordian (Ludlow) is the most probable. This age is not in contradiction with the verified stratigraphic range of *Boionautilus tyrannus* in the Prague Basin. In addition, the orthocerid *Aptychopsis* figured by Turek (1978) comes from older, *i.e.* Wenlockian horizon of the same locality.

**Figure 7.** *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865). • A, B – NE Ougarta, Ougarta Range, (Algeria), Oued Ali Formation • A – a part of phragmocone, frontal view, × 0.9. • B – the same specimen, polished median section. Mo 160868, × 1.2.

**Conclusions**

The genus *Boionautilus* gen. nov. is established for large Silurian tarphycerids originally assigned to the genus *Nautilus* and later reclassified by various cephalopod workers, usually with doubt, as *Barrandecoceras* Hyatt, 1884, *Heracloceras* Teichert, 1940, or *Cumingsoceras* *Flower* in *Flower* & *Kummel*, 1950. The taxon was widely distributed in tropical and subtropical shallow seas of Avalonia, Baltica and Perunica, reaching also the somewhat colder waters of the North Gondwanan shelf, *cf.* distribution pattern of phragmoceratids (*Manda* 2007, 2008). Whereas the reported occurrence of these tarphycerids in the Carnic Alps (Heritsch 1929, Histon 1999) has not been confirmed, the species *Boionautilus tyrannus* (Barrande, 1865) has been verified among cephalopods coming from the Ougarta Range, Algeria.

The transition from an evolute shell (gyroceracone at the beginning of the second whorl) with subelliptic cross section in the middle Ludlow species *Boionautilus stembergi* (Barrande, 1865) to a slightly involute shell with subtrapezoidal cross section in the upper Přídolí *Boionautilus bohemicus* (Barrande, 1865) is considered to be a clearly expressed evolutionary trend. Comparing end-members of this lineage, progressive shortening of gas chambers is apparent. The gradual change of shape improved the hydrodynamic properties of the shell, and in turn, the swimming ability of these nautiloids (*Chamberlain* 1981, *Jacobs* & *Chamberlain* 1996). This is interpreted as an example of adaptive evolution (*Kröger* 2005). The short body chamber, ventrolateral position of retractor muscle scars, deep hyponomic sinus, wide geographic distribution and its occurrence in a variety of
sedimentary facies indicate a nektonic mode of life for *Boionautilus*. Reproductive strategy was probably the same as in *Nautilus* with few, but well-developed offspring, as can be deduced from large curved embryonic shell in *Boionautilus*.

The imperfect coiling of the shell in *Boionautilus sternbergi* leads to a search for the ancestor of this genus amongst loosely coiled Late Ordovician tarphycerids closely related to *Uranoceras longitudinale* (Angelín & Lindström, 1880) (see Mutvei 1957) as being more likely than within the Upper Ordovician *Charactoceras* (see Dzik 1984). There is very little information concerning the internal structure of connecting rings in Tarphycerida. Siphuncular structure studied in several specimens of the family Estonioceratidae shows that connecting rings in tarphycerids were originally composed of the same two layers as those in recent *Nautilus* – an outer spherulitic-prismatic layer and an inner glycoprotein (conchiolin) layer (Mutvei 2002a). Barrandeocerina have not been studied from this point of view. The striking differences in the colour of septa and connecting rings observed in some specimens of *Boionautilus* are remarkable. A high primary content of organic matter forming the connecting rings is supposed; a feature contrasting with our existing knowledge of their structure in Tarphycerida. Additional, more detailed investigation of these structures in other Barrandeocerina is necessary.

Despite certain differences in morphology, the similarity between *Boionautilus* and recent *Allonautilus* Ward & Saunders, 1997 is striking and much more manifest than is the similarity between Devonian and recent representatives of the Nautilida. Due to the morphological and stratigraphical gaps during the Devonian, *Boionautilus* can hardly at present be accepted as an ancestor of Carboniferous nautilids. However, to trace ancestors of recent Nautilida amongst the Silurian *Charactoceras* (see Dzik 1984).
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