Placodermi McCoy, 1848 (armoured fishes) are a group of early jawed vertebrates that appeared in the Silurian period and reached maximum diversification during the Devonian. Placoderms became extinct at the end of the Devonian. Placoderms played an important role in the history of geological studies in the Holy Cross Mountains. Initially, the placoderms were used as stratigraphic tools to distinguish between the Cambrian and Devonian sandstones in the Holy Cross Mountain region (Czarnocki 1919, 1936). Placoderms were used as a biostratigraphic marker for correlation for the first time by Kontkiewicz (1882) and this was applied to the mining activity that occurred between 1893 and 1895 (Kosmowska-Ceranowicz 1973). Placoderms were used as a biostratigraphic marker for correlation by Kontkiewicz’s concept of employing placoderms as index fossils to recognize Early Devonian. By contrast, placoderms served rather limited biostratigraphic value for younger Devonian strata. However, Kulczycki worked prior to the publication of Wegener’s theory of continental drift, resulting in some erroneous palaeogeographic conclusions, but his correlations of the Late Devonian American, West-European and Polish assemblages are still quite important (Kulczycki 1957). So far, other vertebrate remains in the studied horizons reveal little information. Surprisingly, not much has been published on placoderm taxonomy and their spatial and stratigraphic distribution in the Holy Cross Mountains. Thus, the main goal of this article is to summarize and discuss the placoderm record, their preservation and taphonomy in the Holy Cross Mountains using published data (Kontkiewicz 1882; Gürich 1896; Siemiradzki 1903; Czarnocki 1919, 1936; Kulczycka 1933; Samsonowicz 1934; Gorizdro-Kulczycka 1934, 1949, 1950; Kulczycki 1956, 1957; Liszkowski & Racki 1993; Ivanov & Ginter 1997; Szrek 2000, 2003, 2004, 2006a, b, 2007a, b, c, 2008; Szrek & Ginter 2007; Gorzelak et al. 2010; Szrek et al. 2014, 2015; Dworczak & Szrek 2016; Szrek & Dupret 2017; Szrek & Wilk 2018). This study also includes recent, unpublished discoveries including new material.

Geologic and stratigraphic framework

The Holy Cross Mountains is a geological region of Central Poland divided into two units, namely Łysogóry
Figure 1. A – locality map of the Holy Cross Mountains (HCM), Central Poland (modified from Kowalczewski 1971). • B – diagrammatic cross section through the Holy Cross Mountains from the Givetian to the top of the Upper Devonian (after Szulczewski 1995, modified) with the probable position of the investigated localities (a – silty shales, sandstones, conglomerates; b – clayey and marly shales; c – marly limestones and shales; d – marly limestones; e – nodular limestones; f – cephalopod limestones; g – condensed cephalopod and crinoidal limestones; h – micrites, calcarenites, calcirudites; i – calcirudites; j – bedded limestones; k – dolomites; l – massive and bedded limestone). Abbreviations: 1 – Bukowa Mountain; 2 – Podłazie (P); Ujazd (U); Kiełce (K); 3 – Bardo Górne; 4 – Tarczek; 5 – Skaly; 6 – Wietrznia; 7 – Kadzielnia; 8 – Płucki; 9 – Kowala; 10 – Jaźwica; 11 – Gałęzice/Ostrówka.
in the north and Kielce in the south (Fig. 1A, B). Each region has its own geological history and different palaeogeographical position from the Cambrian to the Devonian (Domeier & Torsvik 2014, Nawrocki et al. in press). Differences in facies development during the Devonian include predominantly marine conditions in the Lysogóry region and more continental tectonic conditions in the Kielce region (Szulczewski 1995). Moreover, the Devonian profile in the Lysogóry region is almost complete while in the Kielce region, it is characterised by several unconformities and gaps (see Szulczewski 1995, Fig. 1). The differences in the palaeontological record in the Early Devonian deposits are also significant. The Lysogóry region yielded a rich assemblage of invertebrate fossils and ichnofossils (Szulczewski 1995, Szulczewski & Porębski 2008) as well as vertebrate remains (Szrek et al. 2015). In the Kielce region, there are no invertebrate fossils (Szrek et al. 2014, 2016) while the vertebrate remains are numerous and diversified (Tarlo 1957, 1961a, b, 1962, 1964, 1965; Kulczycki 1960; Bieleck 1980; Szrek et al. 2014, 2015; Szrek & Dupret 2017). Close to the Lower–Middle Devonian boundary, siliciclastic deposition ended and a carbonate platform developed. This reflects multiple transgressive-regressive pulses and a three-phase evolution of the carbonate platform, including peritidal to bank-sedimentation and reef growth followed by a post-reef phase of disintegration and drowning (Szulczewski 1971, 1995; Racki 1993; Racki et al 2002). The Late Devonian carbonate platform facies of the studied area is established on the wide platform, which was divided into blocks during the Frasnian and submerged in several steps (Szulczewski 1989, 1995). The submerison of the carbonate platform was possibly caused by synsedimentary block tectonics (Szulczewski 1989, 1995, 2006; Racki 1993) during a period of intense tectonic activity (McGhee 2013). The tectonic activity resulted in the collision of the major continental crustal blocks, such as Laurussia, Gondwana, Kazakhstan and Siberia during the so-called Acadian-Variscan Mountain building event over the course of about 50 million years (Averbuch et al. 2005). In the Holy Cross Mountains, this tectonic activity is mostly manifested by the carbonate platform fragmentation into blocks, which is reflected in neptunian dykes with hydrothermal mineralization (Szulczewski 1973, Szulczewski et al. 1996) and distinct facies differentiation (e.g. Sobolev 1912; Szulczewski 1971, 1989). This tectonic activity is also linked to several intraformational erosional pulses documented by coarse breccias, conglomerates and underwater slumps (Radwański & Roniewicz 1962; Szulczewski 1968, 1971; Kaźmierczak & Goldring 1978; Racki 1993). Sinking of the Devonian carbonate platform ended during the Viséan and contributed to the development of a deep-sea pelagic platform (Szulczewski 1989, 1995; Racki 1993; Szulczewski et al. 1996).

An important supplement to the discussion of the differences between the Lysogóry and Kielce regions, especially during the Early Devonian, is the recent finding that the vertebrate faunas in both regions contain the same components including (except the placoderms) agnathans, acanthodians and sarcopterygians. The only difference can be explained by the more terrestrial conditions in the Kielce region in contrast to more marine conditions in Lysogóry. Based on the vertebrate fauna, Czarnocki (1919, 1936) reported the same species for both Lysogóry and Kielce, notably Maecaeracanthus polonicus. Later, Pawłowska (1954, 1961) discussed the stratigraphic sequences in both regions, and accepted Czarnocki’s assessment of the different origins for the northern and southern facies in the Devonian period of the Holy Cross Mountains. Tarlo (1957) stated that Czarnocki (1936) disregarded vertebates as potentially useful age indicators. However, despite acknowledging that he had collected the same fauna in the northern and southern regions, Tarlo still considered that the correlation between the two regions is debatable.

**Material**

Although the majority of the accessible material was collected during the last 25 years, most of the formally described specimens were collected during field work campaigns in the first half of the 20th century. The material was collected in four main stages:

1) In the 19th century, Stanisław Kontkiewicz collected a large amount of Early Devonian fossils, which were described by Gürich (1896) and Czarnocki (1919, 1936). Unfortunately, the repository of Kontkiewicz’s collection is unknown (Kosmowska-Ceranowicz 1973).

2) Between 1910 and 1945, Jan Czarnocki collected most of the Late Devonian specimens described by Zinaida Gorizdro-Kulczycka and partially by Julian Kulczycki (Woroncowa-Marcinowska & Szrek 2004).

3) Between 1946 and 1956, Gorizdro-Kulczycka and Kulczycki provided excavations in the Kędzierska, Wietrzna and Podłazie quarries and collected Early and Late Devonian materials.

4) Since 1995 to present, the author of this publication continues the search for fish fossils in the entire Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains (e.g. Szrek 2004, 2008; Szrek et al. 2015; Dworczak & Szrek 2016; Szrek & Dupret 2017; Szrek & Wilk 2018). Single finds of placoderms are described occasionally by geologists who work in associated fields in the same region (with special reference to scientists from the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Silesia in Sosnowiec – see Szrek 2004, Dworczak & Szrek 2016) and also by amateur collectors.
Currently, the majority of the placoderm fossils (and Devonian vertebrates in general) are stored in Warsaw in three collections: in the Museum of the Earth of the Polish Academy of Sciences (coll. MZ VIII Vp), at the Faculty of Geology of the University of Warsaw (coll. MWG UW ZI/43) and in the Polish Geological Institute-National Research Institute (coll. Muz. PGI-NRI 5.II, Muz. PGI-NRI 1733.II and Muz. PGI-NRI 1809.II). Single specimens are also owned by the Institute of Paleobiology, the Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw (ZPAL P.VI) and by the Faculty of Earth Sciences of the University of Silesia, Sosnowiec (WNaZ/S/4). Some additional specimens are in the private collections of amateur researchers.

**Distribution**

Ivanov & Ginter (1997) mentioned six localities where placoderms (Late Devonian in age) and other vertebrates have been found in the Holy Cross Mountains. They listed placoderms from four localities exposing the Early Devonian, three localities exposing the Middle Devonian and nine localities exposing Late Devonian (16 sites totally). These sections are discussed and briefly described below.

**Early Devonian (Emsian)**

The Early Devonian placoderms of the Holy Cross Mountains have been studied for a long time. The first reports of their occurrence in the Lower Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains were those of Kontkiewicz (1882) and Michalski (1884). However, both authors never provided detailed fossil descriptions. Theirs and Gürich’s (1896) identifications have become a source of inspiration for successive authors (Tab. 1). It is remarkable that so many occurrences of *Coccosteus* and *Pterichthys* have been reported from Early Devonian strata of the Holy Cross Mountains (Tab. 1). The lack of illustrations and original specimens renders it impossible to determine whether those authors really saw true *Coccosteus* and *Pterichthys* or just similar remains. Fossils of *Coccosteus* are generally of Middle Devonian age (Eifelian) and it is highly likely that most of these authors repeated the incorrect identifications of Gürich (1896). At the end of the 19th century, *Coccosteus* and *Pterichthys* were well known from the British Old Red-Sandstone and were very often illustrated (e.g. Lyell 1885, fig. 500; Woodward 1885, figs 26, 28; 1891, fig. 44, pls 5–8; Neumayr 1895, fig. 36). I assume that these authors were misled by the tubercles covering dermal bones of *Coccosteus* (e.g. Woodward 1885, fig. 28; 1891, pls 7, 8), which resemble the ornamentation on moulds of sarcopterygians and actinolepid placoderms in the placoderm sandstone. The same holds true for the supposed occurrences of “Bothriolepis” and “Asterolepis”, which could not be verified in the latest studies (Szrek & Dupret 2017). The last problematic genus *Heterostius* presents a different case. It is quite probable that this identification is correct, because of the recent description of *Heterostius* (Szrek et al. 2015) from the contemporary deposits of the Łysogóry region. Recently, a fragment of the skull-roof of the homosteid arthrodire has been reported from the Podlazie locality (Kielce region) and it probably represents the same taxon as was described from the Emsian stage of the Bukowa Góra quarry (Łysogóry region; Szrek et al. 2015) and demonstrates its wider distribution in space and time; the Podlazie section is older or at least of the same age as the Bukowa Góra section – see discussion in Szrek et al. (2015) and Szrek & Dupret (2017).

Czarnocki (1919, 1936) and Filonowicz (1968) mentioned the occurrence of a two-metre thick bone-bearing breccia in the Dębska Wola region. Those authors reported that in this breccia, a very rich and well-preserved vertebrate fossil assemblage occurs. Unfortunately, these authors did not provide details apart from the general information about the locality. Despite numerous attempts to find the site mentioned by Czarnocki (1919, 1936) and Filonowicz (1968), I could not trace the exact location.

Paradoxically, no actual placoderm from the “placoderm sandstone” had been illustrated until the study of Szrek & Dupret (2017). We described and illustrated an assemblage of placoderms exactly 111 years after the name “placoderm sandstone” was introduced. The “placoderm sandstone” now comprises the youngest representatives of the *Kujdanowiaspis* fauna assemblage as well as unidentified actinolepids and brachythoracid arthrodires. Szrek & Dupret (2017) supplemented and corrected Szrek’s (2003) data published earlier, in which the author of this publication identified questionable antiarch and arthrodire remains. Three specimens illustrated in that paper (Szrek 2003, figs 2b, c, 3b) were misinterpreted and should better be referred to as indeterminable vertebrates (probably placoderm or sarcopterygian remains).

**Middle Devonian (Eifelian)**

The Middle Devonian placoderms are known only from three localities and comprise very few specimens. The Tarczek locality in the Świętomarz-Śniadka section revealed an indeterminable fragment of a dermal plate, probably belonging to a brachythoracid arthrodire. The Bardo Górne locality revealed very few fragments including the median dorsal plate of an acanthothoracid placoderm, the first member of this order from Poland. In
Table 1. Occurrence and history of findings of Early Devonian placoderms in the Holy Cross Mountains. Abbreviations: (-) – historical sites not exist anymore and/or negatively verified for placoderms occurrence; (+) – sites with placoderms remains placed on the map (Fig. 1A) and cross-section (Fig. 1B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gürich (1896)</td>
<td>Bieliny area (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus, Bothriolepis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wola Łagowska and Gęsice (-)</td>
<td>Placoderms remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siemiradzki (1903)</td>
<td>Dąbrowa, Nowa Huta (-)</td>
<td>Remains (plates) of placoderm fishes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niewachłówek area (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dębska Wola (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteidea, Asterolepidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bieliny, foot of the Chełm Mountain (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus, Heterostius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valley near Krempa (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siekierno by the Kamienna (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To the W from Barcza, Klonów (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Top of the Domaniawska mountain (+)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kamień Mountain to the N from Miedziana Mountain (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope of Sufraganiec (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czarnocki (1919)</td>
<td>Cedzyna (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dyminy (road N-S) (-)</td>
<td>Placodermi gen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcrops between Napęków and Boskowiny (-)</td>
<td>Imprints of placoderms plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kółka Mountain (Daleszyce area) (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lysica Mountain (Daleszyce area) (-)</td>
<td>Bothriolepis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Września Mountain (-)</td>
<td>Bothriolepis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czyżów and Bardo (Koziel mountain) (-)</td>
<td>Remains of placodermens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North part of Komórki (S part of the Holy Cross Mountains) (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western part of Zbrza, “Brzeziniak” (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus, Heterostius, Bothriolepis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czarnocki (1928)</td>
<td>W part of the Klonowskie Chain (-)</td>
<td>Remains of Placodermi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maslowiec (-)</td>
<td>Remains of placoderm fishes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsonowicz (1934)</td>
<td>Murowaniec and Strzyżowice (-)</td>
<td>Coccosteus, Bothriolepis, Heterosteus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower part of the Żurawnik valley (-)</td>
<td>Placoderms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Piskrzyń, Krempa Dolna (-)</td>
<td>Placoderms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ptkanów (-)</td>
<td>Empty spaces after removal plates of placoderms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czarnocki (1936)</td>
<td>Czostkowa Mountain near Barcza and Miejska Mountain near Bodzentyn (-)</td>
<td>Placoderms remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dębska Wola (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maslowiec near Łagów (+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Łobanowski (1954)</td>
<td>Daleszyce area (+)</td>
<td>Podlazine Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Krzeminca Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stołowa Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Remains of placoderm fishes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Placoderms imprints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajchłow (1957)</td>
<td>Grzegorzowice – Sytna Mountain (-)</td>
<td>Plates of placodermens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filonowicz (1968)</td>
<td>Barania Mountain in Zbrza (-)</td>
<td>Placoderms remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szrek (2000)</td>
<td>Podole near Opatów (+)</td>
<td>Imprints of placoderms plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szrek &amp; et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Podlazine Hill near Daleszyce (+)</td>
<td>Placoderms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szrek &amp; et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Ujazd, Kopiec (+)</td>
<td>Vertebrates remains including placoderms and sarcopterygians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skaly near the Nowa Słupia region, a part of an inferognathal plate (lower jaw) of a brachythoracid arthrodire was found. All of the specimens mentioned above are now under description and will be presented in a separate study.

**Upper Devonian (Frasnian and Famennian)**

A detailed study on placoderms from the Late Devonian in the western part of the Holy Cross Mountains was published by Kulczycki (1956, 1957) and has been commented on by Ivanov & Ginter (1997), who exhaustively covered the topic regarding the considerations based on older collections. Only a few specimens described by Kulczycki (1957) have been revised and the sediment samples accompanying the Gyroplacosteus, Maleroosteus and Holonema remaines were dissolved for conodont biostratigraphy. These analyses allowed to assign these findings to the *Palmatolepis falsiovalis* – Early *hassi* conodont Zone (Fig. 4).

The localities of Kulczycki’s material are not fully accessible for investigations because a natural reserve area has been established in the Kadzielnia and Wietrzna regions. Those localities are partially recultivated and thus are overgrown. About 60 specimens come from the Kadzielnia, Wietrzna, Psie Górki and Ostrówka localities. Recent studies list new material, which comes partially from the same localities where Kulczycki had collected and from new ones, which comprise Famennian specimens from the Płucki and Kowala regions. Płucki (near Łagów) is the richest placoderm site and until now about 100 isolated bones and articulated skeletons have been catalogued and more than 300 still await detailed analysis. Moreover, Płucki and Kowala are still well accessible for investigations and will reveal further material.

According to the latest finds, it is necessary to re-examine two of the comments by Ivanov & Ginter (1997) about Kulczycki’s (1957) contribution. Kulczycki (1957, pl. 13, fig. 1a–c) described elongated bony elements from the Late Devonian of Ostrówka (Gałęzice) assigned provisionally to elasmobranch *Alienacanthus* as well as other fish remains. It has been noted (Kulczycki 1957) that some of them may have formed the armour of placoderms.

Based on new, more complete material, Kulczycki’s assumption must be accepted and supplemented with the determination that those elongated elements represent the lower jaw of the undescribed long-snouted placoderm (probably an arthrodire) found by Szrek (2009). The specimen found in 2000 (Fig. 2) in the same locality represents an elongated gently curved element about 30 cm long. It is a left branch of an inferognathal. The cross section is ellipsoidal with the cross section measuring c. 3 times c. 2 cm. The specimen consists of dermal bone element and reveals a distinct symphysial groove (Fig. 2A). The upper edge of the jaw is covered with tooth-like cusps of up to 1 cm length. The cusps are spaced along the left edge of the jaw in uneven distances (0.5 to 1 cm) and are directed backward (Fig. 2A, C–F). The specimen definitely represents a taxon of a large placoderm, which requires more studies on more complete material. The age of this material was dated to the *Palmatolepis trachytera* conodont Zone. Because of the identical locality and the morphological similarities of Kulczycki’s specimen and the new materials, I suppose that all fish fossils from the Ostrówka region share the same age. Similar specimens were illustrated also by Szulczewski et al. (1996, fig. 8f: “fragments of large fish bones”) from the same locality. His specimen is partially abraded, but it displays the same morphology and thus probably belongs to the same taxon.

Another issue regards the occurrence of Gyroplacosteus from the Frasnian of the Wietrznka quarry, a taxon which has been identified for the first time by Kulczycki (1957). It was originally described as Operchallosteus and later revised by Obruchev (1964) and Miles (1971) (see Ivanov & Ginter 1997). *Gyroplacosteus panderi* was also found in Kowala quarry (Szrek 2006a, 2009) (Fig. 3) and recently, its age has been determined as *Palmatolepis crepida* to *postera* conodont Zone (Famennian). Therefore, it is the youngest occurrence of this genus. The remains comprise a left anterior dorso-lateral plate (Fig. 3A, B) and two median dorsal plates (Fig. 3C, D). The plates are ornamented by tubercles fused into sinuous ridges, a very characteristic feature of this genus (Denison 1978).

Ivanov & Ginter (1997) revised the material described by Kulczycki (1957) and questioned the presence of Antiarchi in the Holy Cross Mountains. Later, Szrek (2004) described the first, quite complete, and exceptionally well preserved placoderm from Poland. The antiarch *Bothriolepis jazwicensis* from the Famennian (*Palmatolepis triangularis* conodont Zone) of the Jaźwica quarry is the only verified specimen of this order in the Holy Cross Mountains. Recently, new material of a possible *Bothriolepis* represented by plates from a pectoral fin and one ventral plate was reported from the lowermost Famennian of the Płucki region (Szrek 2009). Therefore, the pelagic conditions supposed by Ivanov & Ginter (1997) because of the lack of antiarchs should be re-examined. There are several indications for a highly diversified palaeo-relief during the Late Devonian, where also shallow-water environments (including even subaerial exposure of the seafloor) occurred within this area. Detailed description of the specimens mentioned above will be presented in a separate study.

As stated above, the most fossiliferous locality rich in placoderm material is Płucki in the Rogów region. The
Figure 2. Specimen no. MWG UW ZI/43/0077 from Ostrówka quarry. Left part of inferognathal of an arthodiran placoderm; A – specimen in side (lingual) and dorsal (B) view; C – magnified area on B with a broken cusp; D – magnified area on B with cusp preserved completely; E – magnified area on A with series of cusps on the upper edge (marked with arrows); F – magnified area on E with cusp preserved completely in side view. Abbreviation: sg – symphysial grove.
Plucki assemblage shows similarities to the contemporary assemblage from Bad Wildungen (Germany; based on Gross 1932, 1950; Stensiö 1963; Rücklin 2010). Nine out of the seventeen German taxa described occur in Plucki (Szrek 2008, 2009; Szrek & Niedźwiedzki 2015): Leptosteus, Microsteus, Rhynchodus, Aspidichthys, Pholidosteus, Brachysteus, Rhinosteus, Pachyosteus and Bothriolepis (Szrek 2009, Szrek & Niedźwiedzki 2015). Until now, four of those have been published: Eastmanosteus (Ivanov & Ginter 1997), Aspidichthys (Dworczak & Szrek 2016), Dunkleosteus (Szrek & Wilk 2018), Pachyosteus (Janiszewska et al. 2007). All are uppermost Frasnian in age (Palmatolepis rhenana–linguiformis conodont Zone). The stratigraphic distribution of all of the Upper Devonian placoderms from the Holy Cross Mountains are presented in Fig. 4.

### Taphonomy of placoderm fossils

Four major types of placoderm taphonomic categories were found in the Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains: 1) isolated, abraded elements; 2) disarticulated skeletons and close associations of bones; 3) isolated, well-preserved elements; and 4) complete, articulated skeletons. In the Early Devonian occurrences, the third type occurs exclusively. The first, second and fourth types occur in the Middle and Late Devonian material (Tab. 2).

The Placoderm Sandstone is a bonebed, which is characterized by a vast richness of vertebrate fossils. It is also characterized by the biggest fragmentation of those fossils: almost no articulated elements have been discovered. The vertebrate remains in the breccia are preserved as natural moulds where the bone tissue had

### Table 2. Distribution of taphonomic types of placoderms in the Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type I (isolated, not abraded)</th>
<th>Type II (isolated, abraded)</th>
<th>Type III (disarticulated skeletons, associations)</th>
<th>Type IV (articulated skeletons)</th>
<th>Total for type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total: 2</td>
<td>Total: 3</td>
<td>Mogilki (MWG UW ZI/43/1113)</td>
<td>Total: 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plucki (UW MWG ZI/43/35, 52, 54, 55)</td>
<td>Total: 6</td>
<td>Plucki (MWG UW ZI/43/38, 45, 72, 71)</td>
<td>Total: 24</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 8</td>
<td>Wietrzna (MZ-VIII/Vp – 11, 80, W6,.)</td>
<td>Plucki (UW MWG ZI/43/1, 38, 45, 63, 72–73, ZPAL P VI/7)</td>
<td>Total: 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 12</td>
<td>Wietrzna (Muz. PGI-NRI 1809.2, 6, 10, 13, 17, 20, 27, MZ-VIII/Vp – 15 specimens)</td>
<td>Total: 24</td>
<td>Total: 24</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
been entirely dissolved. The plates, spines, scales and other skeletal parts have suffered only slight distortion and show anatomical detail including micro-anatomical features, micro-ornamentation, Haver’s channels, sensory lines (depending on the vertebrate group) and microsculpture of scale surfaces. All these can be examined on latex or silicon casts. The bone elements are usually broken and their edges are sharp (Szrek et al. 2014, fig. 5; Szrek & Dupret 2017, figs 3–5), suggesting short transport. The collected elements and the casts of moulds of vertebrate bones are hardly deformed showing the original morphology. The surfaces of the skeletal elements are rarely abraded, although some accumulations contain rare, isolated and highly abraded bone elements.

Figure 3. Gyroplacosteus panderi from Kowala quarry; A – specimen no. MWG UW ZI/43/0058, anterior dorso-lateral plate in visceral view; B – part of the specimen no. MWG UW ZI/43/0058, part of a specimen marked with arrow on A; C – specimen no. MWG UW ZI/43/0057, anterior part of the median dorsal plate in external (left) and visceral (right) view; D – unregistered specimen, median dorsal plate in external view. Abbreviations: co – condyle of anterior dorso-lateral plate; lc – main lateral line canal.
The mixed nature of this assemblage together with abundant sedimentological indicators for currents and wave action suggest that this bonebed was subjected to storms affecting the coastal area. The Early Devonian vertebrates, including the placoderms, occur very rarely also in the non-sandstone part of the profile, namely in greywacke shales, of the Piskrzyń and Krempa Dolna regions (Samsonowicz 1934, p. 13).

Among the Late Devonian vertebrate assemblages, the first, second, and fourth types of preservation occur. They usually are characterized by a relatively good preservation including even fully articulated skeletons (buried immediately after death), isolated bones that became separated from each other and were abraded during transport as well as associations of well-preserved bones of smaller placoderms that show no traces of long transport (except the influence of posthumous decomposition). The best-preserved fossils (the fourth type) occur in the western part of the region, and comprise interesting specimens such as small individual skulls less than 15 cm long from the Płucki region. As in other regions, however, such examples represent the exception in the fossil record. The examples of articulated or sub-articulated specimens are known from the western part of the area and were discussed by Szrek (2004, 2007b). The first, more common type of preservation contain abraded and fragmented bones of both small and large placoderms (up to 0.6 m skull length), which were possibly transported before their final burial. They are characterized by broken edges and abraded surfaces and had weathered on the Devonian seafloor (Szrek & Wilk 2018). In some particular cases, this type of preservation reveals the palaeotectonic history of the area. For example, a large fragment of the median dorsal plate of Dunkleosteus (Fig. 5A–D) was found recently within the upper Kellwasser-like Horizon in Płucki. It is covered partially by a solid black dolomitic fossiliferous carbonate and occurred in form of a clast that was surrounded by less solid dark brown limestone. The plate with the fossiliferous sediment is abradded and shows features characteristic for transport

![Figure 4. Distribution of placoderms in the Late Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains.](image-url)
in a form of clast during slump event (Szulczewski 1968, Kazimierczak & Goldring 1978). This suggests that the main sedimentary area, where *Dunkleosteus* remains were buried, was temporarily elevated above the place of final burial. This area became then a sediment source, which was eroded and delivered material down the slope in slumps. This explains the preservation and sedimentary setting seen today in the fossiliferous horizon in Płucki. Moreover, it corroborates the validity of the suggested ephemeral emergence episodes of the carbonate platform of the Holy Cross Mountains as described by Skompski & Szulczewski (2000). These taphonomic observations of the placoderm remains allows the conclusion that it was rather the regional tectonics that controlled water depth and mechanical abrasion during slumping and thereby bone preservation than the chemical influence of sea water.

The placoderms probably played an important role in the local ecosystem even after their death. In many cases, their dermal plates show traces of the activity of epibenthic organisms. Such examples come mainly from the Famennian when almost the entire area of the Holy Cross Mountains was dominated by pelagic sedimentation and placoderm plates might have been the only or almost only hard elements on the sea-floor (Seilacher 1982).

A well-known case regarding epibionts on placoderm bones comes from Australia (White 1978) and is represented by a tabulate coral colony of *Aulopora*, which overgrew the head shield of *Burrinjucosteus symmetricus*. In the Holy Cross Mountains, epibionts were reported from the Famennian of Kowala and Wietrzna quarries. The few specimens comprise a median-dorsal plate of brachthoracid arthrodire and an unidentified, probably ventral plate showing scavenging traces (Fig. 6A, B). The traces are dendritiform and only about 0.5 to 1 mm deep. Their infills are comprised of limestone and confirm their Devonian age. The potential trace-maker might have been a representative of scavenging bryozoans provisionally attributed to hederellids (Kiepura 1973, González-Mora et al. 2018).
Several bones of large arthrodires from Kowala quarry are covered on one side with sediment chock-a-block with moulded exoskeletons of phacopid trilobites (Fig. 7). The explanation for such a case is probably that the bones of placoderms lying on the soft substrate of the sea floor might have been utilized by those arthropods as a shelter during ecdysis (see Daley & Drage 2015). However, current alignment of trilobite exuviae behind the bones cannot be excluded.

Discussion

The placoderm diversity in the Holy Cross Mountains, in spite of their uneven abundance and occurrence in space and time, reflect important trends in biological evolution as well as changes in the global marine ecosystems.

The Early Devonian placoderm assemblages from the Holy Cross Mountains are not rich and diversified. They reveal differences in taxonomic composition to neighbouring regions. Homosteid material from Poland represents a transitional position between the Rhineland, Germany (Gross 1942) and the West Siberian Platform in Perevozinskoe, Russia (Krasnov & Kurik 1982). By contrast, the “placoderm sandstone” assemblage is more similar to the Podolian fauna (Szrek & Dupret 2017). Since the “placoderm sandstone” assemblage differs at species level with more derived taxa, Szrek & Dupret (2017) concluded that the Holy Cross Mountains served as a potential refuge for older Early Devonian Kujdanowiaspis assemblages from Podolia and maybe even for those found in Spain (Dupret et al. 2011, Szrek & Dupret 2017). Placoderms from the Early Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains are represented by six taxa but only two genera, which might be distinguished in the entire assemblage. The reason for this low diversity is the fragmentary state of fossil preservation, which does not allow species-level identification. Information about the stratigraphic distribution of placoderms in the Early Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains is very limited. The age of placoderms from the “placoderm sandstone” cannot be precisely determined because of the lack of stratigraphic markers. However, according to Tarnowska (1976) and palynological data from boreholes in the vicinity, it is most likely that the age of the placoderms in the Łysogóry and Kielce regions is late Emsian (Zagórze “formation” for Łysogóry and Winna “formation” for Kielce region; Fijałkowska-Mader & Malec 2011, 2018; Szrek et al. 2014; Szrek & Dupret 2017).

The Middle Devonian placoderms are represented by relatively poorly preserved material. These specimens show no trends in their diversity or geographical distribution, thus they will not be further discussed herein.

The Late Devonian placoderms are the best dated because of the abundance of associated conodonts. Late Devonian vertebrate distribution in the Holy Cross Mountains was examined by Gorizdro-Kulczycka (1934, 1949), Kulczycki (1957), Liszkowski & Racki (1993), as well as Ivanov & Ginter (1997). It can be generally concluded that the placoderms were highly environmentally tolerant. Also, the Late Devonian assemblages show a mixed character reminiscent of other well recognized Laurussian (Rhenish Massif, East European Platform, North America – Cleveland Shale) and Gondwana assemblages (Morocco, Iran, Australia).

As Ivanov & Ginter (1997) noticed, many forms, which have been found together in the Holy Cross Mountains, coexist in other regions as well. This was revised and partly confirmed by Szrek (2004, 2008, 2009). In some respects, the Late Devonian assemblage resembles that from the Late Devonian Gogo Formation Lagerstätte of Western Australia. The Polish material is not as well preserved as that from the Gogo Formation. Nevertheless, the taxonomic composition of the Polish placoderm assemblage and that from Australia reveal intriguing similarities. According to the general analyses of the Gogo fauna by Long & Trinajstic (2010), one antiarch, three ptyctodonts, and twenty-five arthrodire species are already described. In the Holy Cross Mountains, there is one antiarch, at least two ptyctodonts and twenty arthrodire species. The reason for this similarity and the maybe slightly higher diversity in Australia may be explained by the stable reef-influenced environment. This environment lasted longer in Gogo (Playford 1980) than in the Holy Cross Mountains, where regional tectonic activity caused regional sea-level changes (e.g. Szulczewski 1971, Racki 1993). In turn, this explains the lower diversity and worse fossil preservation of the Polish material in comparison with Australia. In addition, the relative abundances of placoderm lifestyles are similar in both regions. Small nektonic and durophagous predators (e.g. ptyctodonts) dominate while mud-dwellers (antiarchs) and large nektonic predators (Eastmanosteus, Dunkleosteus) are in the minority. More detailed analyses of the entire vertebrate fauna comprising agnathans, acanthodians and osteichthyans from the Late Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains would be valuable and could help to shed more light on this ecosystem and its foodwebs.

The available vertebrate data is not sufficient yet to confidently assess how deeply the Frasnian–Famennian biotic crisis impacted the placoderm fauna in the Holy Cross Mountains. The exclusively durophagous ptyctodonts do not cross the F–F boundary, which may be interpreted as a consequence of the reef collapse at that time (Copper 1994; McGhee 2013, 2014). However, in the Holy Cross Mountains, the reef-related environments disappeared long before the end of the Frasnian (Szulczewski 1971, Racki 1993, Skompski & Szulczewski
2000) and the last occurrence of ptyctodonts were dated to the youngest part of this stage (Fig. 4). Indeed, several taxa are restricted to the latest Frasnian (*Palmatolepis linguiformis* conodont Zone) in the stratigraphic range of *Plourdosteus, Microsteus, Rhinosteus, Selenosteus, Brachyosteus* and *Leptosteus*. Nevertheless, the seeming intensity of this extinction may be an effect of the extraordinary richness of fossils in the “Kellwasser”
Horizon in Plucki where these placoderms were found (Lagerstätten-effect). In some respects, the stratigraphic range of particular taxa such as *Pachyosteus*, *Stenosteus*, *Eastmanosteus* and *Dunkleosteus* is wider than in other localities. A good example of the unexpected survivor of the F–F boundary is the holonematid *Gyroplacosteus* (Fig. 3), which was discovered in the Famennian of Ko-wala quarry (*Palmatolepis crepida–P. postera* conodont Zones). Previously, holonematids were not known to have survived the Frasnian–Famennian biotic crisis (Denison 1978).

The general change in placoderm assemblages through the Devonian reveal the replacement of Early Devonian demersal placoderms by a Late Devonian diversified nektic-dominated placoderm assemblage. This was influenced by the palaeoenvironmental changes in the entire area of the Holy Cross Mountains and the geo-tectonic conditions, which influenced the regional evolution of marine ecosystems. By contrast, the appearance of more active nektic predators match the global tendency described by Klug *et al.* (2010), which is especially evident in the Frasnian period where the number of taxa and specimens increased markedly. A similar correlation of placoderm diversity with the Devonian Nekton Revolution was discovered by Vaškaninová & Kraft (2014) in the Prague Basin where the Early to Middle Devonian sedimentary development reflects rather stable conditions with a rich palaeobiodiversity.

**Conclusions**

The distribution, preservation and abundance of placoderm remains in the Holy Cross Mountains is very uneven in space and time, which partially reflects the low number of outcrops. This applies especially to the Middle Devonian where only a few specimens have been found over the past century.

The spatial and stratigraphic distribution as well as the state of preservation of placoderms mostly correlates with the complicated facies evolution of the entire area. I thus suggest a close relationship between the states of preservation, taxonomic occurrences and sedimentary environments (reflecting both palaeoecology and taphonomic pathways).

The taxonomic distribution of placoderms in space and time within the Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains is well correlated with the Devonian Nekton Revolution. Nevertheless, it is also strongly influenced by local synsedimentary tectonics that affected the carbonate platform. In the Holy Cross Mountains, the tectonic trends influenced faunal changes more than the global anoxia, which probably asphyxiated much of the area that laid below the reef-tolerance level.
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