Neogene woods from western Peruvian Amazon and palaeoenvironmental interpretation
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Vegetation dynamics in the Western Amazonian Basin are studied using knowledge of palaeobotany. Fossil wood specimens from eroded sediments on the banks of the Amazon in the Iquitos region of Peru come from layers dated as Middle Miocene to Pliocene. Samples include branch fragments or entire tree trunks either as compressed lignites or silicified stems. The wood can be assigned to modern genera of various families still present in the South American flora. Samples from the Middle Miocene Pebas Formation show affinities with taxa now occurring in rain forests:

- *Anacardium* (Anacardiaceae),
- *Calophyllum* (Clusiaceae),
- *Buchenavia* and *Terminalia* (Combretaceae),
- *Andira* / *Hymenolobium* (Fabaceae),
- *Humiriastrum* (Humiriaceae),
- *Cariniana* and *Eschweilera* (Lecythidaceae),
- *Guarea* (Meliaceae) and
- *Mimosaceae*, which indicates that part of the Recent Amazon Basin flora pre-dates contact with North America. Growth rings are absent or indistinct in the fossils, a characteristic feature of low-elevation rain forests. The fossil assemblage evokes “Hylaea Amazonia” and especially the “terra firme” forests of the modern Amazon delta and surroundings. Fossil wood samples from the Pliocene Amazonas Formation resemble *Cedrela* (Meliaceae) and are semi ring-porous. The *Cedrela* wood occurrence shows a vegetation change between mid-Miocene and now in the western Amazon Basin.
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The Amazon Basin is the largest sedimentary basin in the world and harbours the most extensive tropical forest and the highest biodiversity (Groombridge 1992, Davis et al. 1997). Although the Miocene Pebas Formation of northeastern Peru has been investigated in earlier palaeontological studies, the history of the flora dynamics in the Western Amazonian basin is still controversial. Different hypotheses about the initial environmental conditions for this modern forest have been proposed. The present biodiversity is seen either as a continuation of the Neogene forest (Hooghiemstra & Hammen 1998), or as being recently established after the latest geological structuring of the basin (Campbell 1996, Balée 2000). The present study is a palaeobotanical contribution to a multidisciplinary project on the Neogene evolution of the Amazon Basin.

Despite some palynological studies (Hoorn 1993, 1994), the palaeobotany of the western Peruvian Amazon is still poorly known. We explored the Iquitos and Attalaya regions of Peru in 2004 and 2005. From the eroded sediments of the banks of the Amazon and its tributaries (Fig. 1), we collected numerous fossil wood samples dating from Middle Miocene to Pliocene. The sediments were produced during the Andes uplift; the network of rivers transported and deposited sand, clay and plant parts as well as dead animals into the Amazonian basin. The fossil samples from the Iquitos were branch fragments or entire tree trunks up to 1 meter in diameter and 5 meters in length, carried by the river, as seen nowadays in the Amazon, especially after the rainy season. The outcrops along the Amazon River also provided fossil vertebrates, molluscs and amber with insect and spore inclusions (Antoine et al. 2006).

As plants are excellent tools for reconstructing palaeoclimatic and palaeoenvironmental records, especially through comparison with the modern flora, the study of fossil woods from the Iquitos area can shed new light on past floral composition and past environment and climate of the Neogene Amazon Basin. Fossil wood samples from the Attalaya
area are also under study, and this survey will provide new information about the origins and development, and palaeoenvironments of vegetations in the Amazonian Basin.

**Material and methods**

In the Iquitos region (Fig. 1), fossil woods are found as lignites, more or less compressed by the sediment weight and/or as silicified samples. Material from the Attalaya region, still being studied, comprises numerous and well preserved silicified wood samples mainly reworked from a recent layer that is still not precisely identified and dated. Lignite specimens are also numerous and in situ in the sediments in that region, but their anatomy is generally badly preserved because they show a partial transformation as vitrain.

This paper describes some 34 samples (IQW26–IQW59) collected from a site close to the village Tamshiyaku during fieldwork in 2004. Most samples come from a lignite layer in the upper part of the Pebas Formation; a few come...
from the Amazonas Formation (Pliocene) (Roddaz et al. 2005, N. Espurt, pers. comm.; Fig. 2). Wood specimens were measured in the field, and the diameter of the trunks and branches were also measured, or estimated from the morphology and anatomy of the fragments. Most woods are trunks or trunk parts with regular, long, straight shapes. Sub-samples were collected from silicified specimens using burin and a hammer. For less mineralized specimens (lignite and waterlogged Pliocene woods), sampling was done with the help of a saw or a cutter. Sections were prepared after embedding in polyester resin for lignite and waterlogged samples and mounted in Canada balsam. Sections are deposited in the fossil plants collection of the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle of Paris (MNHN). The botanical affinities were determined with the help of literature on South American wood, e.g., Record (1943a–c, 1944a–c), Hess (1946), Pereira & Mainieri (1957), Kribs (1968), Record & Hess (1972), Dechamps (1979, 1980, 1985), Détienne et al. (1982), Détienne (1983), Mainieri & Chimiero (1989), but also with more general references such as Metcalfe & Chalk (1950), Ilic (1991), and the internet database InsideWood (2004 – onwards).

**Wood characters and botanical affinities**

Among the 34 fossil wood samples from the Tamshiyaku outcrops, we observed only angiosperm taxa. This identification is based on the microscopic anatomy of these specimens. For descriptions, we used hardwood standardised characters by Wheeler et al. (1989).

Some wood samples from the Pebas Formation can be assigned to extant genera of families, which are present or even endemic in the modern South American flora (Table 1). The following taxa were recognised: *Anacardium* (Anacardiaceae), *Calophyllum* (Clusiaceae), *Buchenavia* and *Terminalia* (Combretaceae), *Hymenolobium* (Fabaceae), Humiriaceae. *Cariniana*, *Eschweilera* and another undetermined (Lecythidaceae), *Guarea* (Meliaceae), *Sama nea/Pseudosama nea* (Mimosaceae, Ingeae). Below some diagnostic characters of the more significant taxa are given.

**Anacardiaceae**

One specimen is characterised by indistinct growth rings, few and scattered vessels, solitary and in radial groups of 2–3, simple perforation plates, intervessel pits 10–12 µm in diameter, vasicentric – aliform parenchyma, and numerous 1–2 seriate slightly heterocellular rays with prismatic crystals. This wood resembles *Anacardium* Lindl., especially *A. excelsum* (B. & B.) Skeels.

**Clusiaceae**

Figure 3A–C

One specimen is characterised by exclusively solitary vessels in a diagonal pattern, medium to large in diameter; intervessel pits are small (4.5–6 µm), vasicentric tracheids present, apotracheal parenchyma in broken to continuous tangential bands of 3–6 cells wide with prismatic crystals; heterocellular uniseriate rays, vessel-ray pits large and simple with reduced borders. This wood resembles the genus *Calophyllum* L., and has characteristics seen in *Calophyllum brasiliensis* Camb.

Another specimen shows affinities with Clusaceae, but unlike the previous one has abundant tyloses, regularly spaced apotracheal parenchyma band of 5–6 cells wide, and 2–4 seriate, homocellular rays.

**Combretaceae**

Figure 3D–H

Three specimens have features seen in Combretaceae and could be assigned to the morphotaxa *Terminalioxy lon* (Schönfeld) Müel-Angeliewa & Müller-Stoll emend.

Two of them are close to the modern genus *Terminalia* L. The first one is characterised by vessels both solitary and in radial groups of 2–4, of medium diameter, vented intervessel pits, vasicentric to aliform parenchyma with short wings and confluent bands connecting 2–3 pores, uniseriate heterocellular rays with large and rhomboidal crystals, completely filling a tangentially enlarged, radial series of ray cells (as described by Vliet 1979). This wood (type 1, Fig. 3E–H) resembles the modern *T. amazonia* (Gmel.) Exell.

The second specimen differs in its parenchyma, which is aliform and in wavy tangential lines, and growth rings slightly marked by marginal parenchyma bands of 1 to 3 cells wide. Prismatic crystals occur in the chambered axial parenchyma cells. This structure (type 2) resembles the *T. tarapotensis* type.
The third specimen presents the following characters: vessels diffuse, solitary and in radial multiple of 2, 4, often including some narrow vessels; presence of tyloses; intervessel pits alternate round to elongate; paratracheal, slightly aliform parenchyma (Fig. 3D), uni-biseriate heterocellular rays, with cells with large solitary rhomboidal crystals, completely filling the cells, more or less isodiametric in horizontal rows. This combination of features is usually found in the genus *Buchenavia*, and particularly in the species *Buchenavia grandis* (Détienne 1983).

**Humiriaceae**

The three samples assigned to this family are characterised by their solitary vessels with scalariform perforation plates with 15–20 bars; parenchyma diffuse-in-aggregates, fibres with bordered pits, chambered crystalliferous parenchyma, and heterocellular rays. These fossils have features seen in the modern genera *Humiria* Jaume St-Hil. and *Humeriastrum* (Urb.) Cuatrec.

**Lecythidaceae**

Figure 4A–G

Four samples resemble Lecythidaceae – Lecythidoidae (Lens et al. 2007). The first sample has indistinct growth rings, vessels solitary and in radial groups of 2–3, intervessel pits of medium size, apotracheal parenchyma in tangential lines of 1–2 cells wide forming a fine reticulum with the rays; rays are (uni-) biseriate and homocellular. Two types of vessel-ray pits are present (few simple pits, or pits oval with more or less reduced borders). This wood is close to *Cariniana* Casar (Fig. 4E, F), especially the modern species *C. domestica* Mart. (Fig. 4G).

Another sample seems to be close to *Eschweilera* Mart. because of its parenchyma which occurs in broad continuous tangential bands and homocellular, bi- triseriate rays (Fig. 4A–D). The two other samples remain undetermined.

**Leguminoseae**

The wood anatomy of 3 samples could be related to the super family of the Leguminoseae, but we could only identify some to subfamily.

**Leg. – Fabaceae**

Figure 5A–E

One wood sample is assigned to this family. It has vessels mainly solitary or in radial multiple of 2–5 or in clusters with medium-sized vested intervessel pits. Axial parenchyma (2) 3–4 cells per strand (Fig. 5B), are vasicentric, aliform, confluent to banded forming wavy to almost straight, irregular, tangential bands and in seemingly marginal bands. Paratracheal parenchyma are bordered by crystals in chambered fibres and axial parenchyma; rays homocellular uni- triseriate; fibres thick walled and non-septate. This combination of features is frequent in *Andira* Juss. and *Hymenolobium* Benth genera.

**Leg. – Mimosaceae**

The one sample assigned to this family has vessels solitary or in radial groups of 2–3, medium-sized intervessel pits; axial parenchyma vasicentric aliform and confluent, crystals in scattered chambered axial parenchyma cells; non- septate fibres, with common isolated crystalliferous cells; homocellular, uniseriate rays with locally biseriate portions, mostly unstoried, but irregularly storied in places. These characters are found in the Ingeae tribe/Abarema alliance (Evans et al. 2006). However, the *Dimorphandra* group, tribe of Caesalpiniae show strinking similarities.

**Meliaceae**

Figure 6A–H

Some samples from the Miocene Pebas Formation have indistinct or slightly distinct growth rings, marked by marginal tangential apotracheal parenchyma bands. They have vessels evenly distributed, solitary or in radial group of 2–5, intervessel pits minute; parenchyma vasicentric or aliform to confluent and sometimes crystalliferous, forming wavy tangential bands, and homocellular bi- triseriate rays. These are characteristics of the genus *Guarea* Allem. ex L. (Fig. 6A–C).

There are two samples from the Pliocene Amazonas Formation that are semi- to ring porous and are assigned to the Meliaceae. They have large earlywood vessels in a single tangential row, associated with a wide band of initial parenchyma, vessel diameter decreases towards the
latewood, intervessel pits medium sized, scanty vasicentric and diffuse parenchyma, slightly heterocellular bi-triseriate rays, and axial intercellular canals in a tangential row embedded in axial parenchyma bands. This wood resembles Cedrela P. Br. (Fig. 6D–F), but the fossil shows narrower growth rings than those usually observed in some modern species of Cedrela (Fig. 6G, H). Dünisch & Baas (2006) mentioned the presence of intercellular canals in Cedrela odorata and C. fissilis in a significant percentage of the examined samples of old trees.

**Incertae sedis**

**Figure 5F, G**

A wood sample shows distinct growth rings marked by marginal parenchyma bands; Vessels solitary or in radial multiple of 2–4, 10–13 /mm² of mean tangential diameter of 100–150 µm; intervessel pits polygonal, minute; axial crystalliferous parenchyma irregularly diffuse among the fibrous elements of the wood, and scanty paratracheal to vasicentric; marginal bands of parenchyma with traumatic canals; rays homocellular with one row of upright or square marginal cells containing often prismatic crystals; fibres thin- to thick- walled (apparently non-septate?). It closely resembles the modern Meliaceae (Carapa) and Rutaceae (Balfourodendron, Esenbeckia, Zanthoxylum, etc.). The size and the density of the vessels show a greater resemblance with Meliaceae.

Four other samples from the Pebas Formation and one from the Amazonas Formation could not be assigned to a precise modern dicotyledonous family, because of the lack of diagnostic character.

**Phytogeography and palaeoenvironment**

All the fossil taxa of the Miocene Pebas Formation belong to genera and/or families present in Amazonia today (see Table 1). According to the present distribution of the observed species in Amazonia (Hueck 1972, Hueck & Seibert 1972, Schnell 1987, Gentry & Ortiz 1993, Mabberley 2002), this palaeofloristic assemblage from the upper Pebas Formation can be compared with the modern flora of the lowland rainforest called Amazon hylaea ("Hylaea Amazonia"). Some genera of the Leguminosae, Lecythidaceae, Humiriaceae and Meliaceae occurring in the Pebas Formation are typical of the "terra firme" forests, especially in the area close to the Amazon delta today. This corresponds to a climate with high and regular rainfall throughout the year (Mean Annual Precipitations – MAP ≥ 2500 mm / year), and a Mean Annual Temperature (MAT) of about 25.5 to 27.5 °C, with a very light annual amplitude (1.5 to 3 °C, Schnell 1987).

These taxa, characteristic of "terra firme" forests, were present in South America before the contact between the North and South America. Thus, despite the inevitable taphonomic bias, this sheds some light on the floristic composition of Amazon Basin forests before the contact between North and South America, during the Middle Miocene and even before. South America could have shared floral elements with other Gondwanan continents. Some other families such as Annonaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lauraceae, Moraceae, Rubiaceae and Sapotaceae, well represented in the modern South American flora, are missing from this fossil assemblage. The number of specimens studied is still not enough to determine whether the aforementioned families were present or absent from South America in Middle Miocene. The Cedrela wood samples from the Pliocene (Amazonas Fm.) reveal a potential environmental change in this area, but the species is also present in the "Hylea Amazonia" in different environments, especially in the recolonization forest types of the river meanders (Puhakka & Kalliola 1993). This leads to questions of which kind of change occurred between the Miocene and Pliocene of Peru.

**Paleoclimatic signals based on growth ring studies**

The presence of growth rings is usually considered as indicative of a seasonal climate even in the tropics. The annual growth rings are marked by the longest dry season (Détienne 1989, Vetter & Botosso 1989) and they can be used to estimate the age and growth rate of a tree (Boninsegna et al. 1989). In the tropics, especially in evergreen rain forests, the growth rings are slightly marked by a tangential parenchyma band and/or differences in fibre wall thickness according to the species (Détienne et al. 1998). In some species, growth rings are not obvious or completely undifferentiated.
In the wood samples from the upper part of the Pebas Formation, the growth rings are absent or sometimes very slightly marked, except for an incertae sedis sample (cf. Meliaceae), which shows regular traumatic canals in tangential rows. The rarity of strongly marked growth rings is a characteristic feature of low-elevation rain forests. This confirms the conclusion obtained from the botanical affinities of the wood samples.

Growth ring boundaries are not observed in the majority of the samples [Combretaceae, Fabaceae (cf. Andira / Hymenolobium), Lecythidaceae (cf. Cariniana), Meliaceae (cf. Guarea, 6 samples), Mimosaceae]. They are slightly marked in Lecythidaceae, by a faint gradient in vessel size and a wider fibre band in the growth ring limit. In some of the Meliaceae (cf. Guarea) specimens, and in one of the Combretaceae (Terminalia sp. 1) specimens, the growth rhythm is also marked by a regular thin marginal parenchyma band, which could be interpreted as a growth ring boundary. In all samples, the growth seems to be relatively regular (ring width relatively constant). Compared to the modern wood, collected on living trees in this area, the seasons are slightly less marked in the Middle Miocene Pebas Formation woods. This suggests a climate with poorly contrasted seasons (regular rainfall and temperature throughout the year. However, weak growth rings boundaries can still be associated by short dry seasons!).

In contrast, the wood samples from the Amazonas Formation have a semi-ring porous structure. These rings are more or less regular in thickness (about 1 mm), and marked by the presence of a decreasing gradient of vessel size. The presence of traumatic axial canals, in 3(or more)-celled marginal parenchyma bands, also underline the growth ring boundaries. This syndrome suggests semi-deciduous to moist deciduous forests for that period, because Cedrela is able to react to alternating seasons by its deciduous phenology. The presence of growth rings in these wood samples is probably a signature of a stronger seasonality, with a more pronounced dry season, in this region during Pliocene. It might also be a response of the trees to a temporary immersion during the rainy season, as it occurs in the “varzea” forest types in lowland rain forests (Hueck 1972, Schnell 1987, Worbes 1989). Nevertheless, overall, the Pliocene is known to have been drier and warmer than today (Raymo et al. 1996, Haywood & Valdes 2004) and this could also explain the abundance of Cedrela today. This genus could have colonized the region during a dry period at the end of the Cenozoic. It is now widespread in Central and South America and its expansion and diversification could be dated from the Pliocene, because no record has been reported previously in South America. This should be confirmed by further studies.

### Table 1. Botanical affinities, location of the fossil wood samples, presence of the taxa in the modern flora; growth rings: – absent, (+) weakly marked, + present, ++ semi ring porous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Fossil data</th>
<th>South America</th>
<th>C&amp;N tropical America</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Tropical Asia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family</strong></td>
<td><strong>Genus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Number of specimens</strong></td>
<td><strong>Growth ring pattern</strong></td>
<td><strong>Formation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pre-Pliocene presence in South America</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>cf. Anacardium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>cf. Buchenavia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cf. Terminalia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>– / (+)</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humiriaceae</td>
<td>cf. Humiriastrum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecythidaceae</td>
<td>cf. Cariniana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>cf. Andira / Hymenolobium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimosaceae–Ingae</td>
<td>cf. Abarema alliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>cf. Cedrela</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Amazonas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cf. Guarea</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>– / (+)</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incertae sedis</td>
<td>(cf. Meliaceae)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>Pebas</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the wood samples from the upper part of the Pebas Formation, the growth rings are absent or sometimes very slightly marked, except for an incertae sedis sample (cf. Meliaceae), which shows regular traumatic canals in tangential rows. The rarity of strongly marked growth rings is a characteristic feature of low-elevation rain forests. This confirms the conclusion obtained from the botanical affinities of the wood samples.

Growth ring boundaries are not observed in the majority of the samples [Combretaceae, Fabaceae (cf. Andira / Hymenolobium), Lecythidaceae (cf. Cariniana), Meliaceae (cf. Guarea, 6 samples), Mimosaceae]. They are slightly marked in Lecythidaceae, by a faint gradient in vessel size and a wider fibre band in the growth ring limit. In some of the Meliaceae (cf. Guarea) specimens, and in one of the Combretaceae (Terminalia sp. 1) specimens, the growth rhythm is also marked by a regular thin marginal parenchyma band, which could be interpreted as a growth ring boundary. In all samples, the growth seems to be relatively regular (ring width relatively constant). Compared to the modern wood, collected on living trees in this area, the seasons are slightly less marked in the Middle Miocene Pebas Formation woods. This suggests a climate with poorly contrasted seasons (regular rainfall and temperature throughout the year. However, weak growth rings boundaries can still be associated by short dry seasons!).

In contrast, the wood samples from the Amazonas Formation have a semi-ring porous structure. These rings are more or less regular in thickness (about 1 mm), and marked by the presence of a decreasing gradient of vessel size. The presence of traumatic axial canals, in 3(or more)-celled marginal parenchyma bands, also underline the growth ring boundaries. This syndrome suggests semi-deciduous to moist deciduous forests for that period, because Cedrela is able to react to alternating seasons by its deciduous phenology. The presence of growth rings in these wood samples is probably a signature of a stronger seasonality, with a more pronounced dry season, in this region during Pliocene. It might also be a response of the trees to a temporary immersion during the rainy season, as it occurs in the “varzea” forest types in lowland rain forests (Hueck 1972, Schnell 1987, Worbes 1989). Nevertheless, overall, the Pliocene is known to have been drier and warmer than today (Raymo et al. 1996, Haywood & Valdes 2004) and this could also explain the abundance of Cedrela today. This genus could have colonized the region during a dry period at the end of the Cenozoic. It is now widespread in Central and South America and its expansion and diversification could be dated from the Pliocene, because no record has been reported previously in South America. This should be confirmed by further studies.
In the context of rapid global climate change, it is crucial to compare in detail the Pliocene flora and vegetation of this region of western Amazonia with the modern highly diversified flora, because a difference of +2 to +5 °C has been estimated for Pliocene temperatures compared to the present (Raymo et al. 1996, Haywood & Valdes 2004).
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